

EDGE-DISJOINT HAMILTON CYCLES IN RANDOM GRAPHS

FIACHRA KNOX, DANIELA KÜHN AND DERYK OSTHUS

ABSTRACT. We show that provided $\log^{50} n/n \leq p \leq 1 - n^{-1/4} \log^9 n$ we can with high probability find a collection of $\lfloor \delta(G)/2 \rfloor$ edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles in $G \sim G_{n,p}$, plus an additional edge-disjoint matching of size $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ if $\delta(G)$ is odd. This is clearly optimal and confirms, for the above range of p , a conjecture of Frieze and Krivelevich.

1. INTRODUCTION

The question of how many edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles one can pack into a given graph G has a long history, but many of the main questions remain open. More precisely, we say that a graph G has *property $\mathcal{H}$* if G contains $\lfloor \delta(G)/2 \rfloor$ edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles, together with an additional edge-disjoint optimal matching if $\delta(G)$ is odd. (For a graph G on n vertices, call a matching M in G *optimal* if $|M| = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$.) An old construction by Walecki (see e.g. [1, 26]) shows that the complete graph K_n has a Hamilton decomposition if n is odd (here a Hamilton decomposition of a graph G is a set of edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles which together cover all of the edges of G). It is well known that more generally K_n has property $\mathcal{H}$ (see e.g. [32]). We discuss some further results at the end of the introduction.

The question was first investigated in a probabilistic setting by Bollobás and Frieze in the 1980's (see below). Later, Frieze and Krivelevich [13] made the striking conjecture that whp $G_{n,p}$ has property $\mathcal{H}$ for any $p = p(n)$. Here $G_{n,p}$ denotes a binomial random graph on n vertices where every edge is present with probability p , and we say that a property of a random graph on n vertices holds *whp* if the probability that it holds tends to 1 as n tends to infinity.

Conjecture 1 (Frieze and Krivelevich [13]). *For any $p = p(n)$, whp a binomial random graph $G \sim G_{n,p}$ has property $\mathcal{H}$.*

Our main result confirms this conjecture as long as p is not too small and not too large.

Theorem 2. *Let $\frac{\log^{50} n}{n} \leq p \leq 1 - n^{-1/4} \log^9 n$. Then whp $G_{n,p}$ has property $\mathcal{H}$.*

We deduce Theorem 2 from a purely deterministic result (Theorem 48) which states that every graph which satisfies certain pseudorandomness conditions and which is close (but not too close) to being regular has property $\mathcal{H}$. Our proof shows that if both $\delta(G_{n,p})$ and n are odd, then the optimal matching guaranteed by property $\mathcal{H}$ can be chosen to cover the vertex x of minimum degree. So the Hamilton cycles and this matching together cover all the edges at x .

We now discuss some related results on packing edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles in random graphs. Most of these actually consider the slightly weaker property $\mathcal{H}_\delta$ of containing $\lfloor \delta(G)/2 \rfloor$ edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles. Let $G_{n,m}$ denote a random graph

Date: January 20, 2013.

The authors were supported by the EPSRC, grant no. EP/F008406/1. D. Kühn was also supported by the ERC, grant no. 258345.

chosen uniformly from the set of graphs on n vertices with m edges. Bollobás and Frieze [7] showed that an analogue of Conjecture 1 holds in the model $G_{n,m}$ when $2m/n = pn \leq \log n + O(\log \log n)$. In this range of m , whp $\delta(G_{n,m})$ is bounded. Their result generalizes a result of Bollobás [5], which gave a ‘hitting time’ result for the property that $G_{n,m}$ contains a Hamilton cycle. Frieze and Krivelevich [13] extended the range of p and showed that property $\mathcal{H}_\delta$ holds for all p with $pn = (1 + o(1)) \log n$. Recently, this was further extended by Ben-Shimon, Krivelevich and Sudakov [4] to all $p \leq 1.02 \log n/n$. In this range whp $G_{n,p}$ is far from being regular. In fact, as noted in [4] whp we have $\delta(G_{n,p}) \leq np/300$, and so only a small fraction of the edges of $G_{n,p}$ are contained in the Hamilton cycles guaranteed by property $\mathcal{H}_\delta$. Using different ideas than in the present paper, very recently Krivelevich and Samotij [21] were able to cover the range $\log n/n \leq p \leq n^{-1+\varepsilon}$. Finally, the ‘very dense’ case was settled by Kühn and Osthus [25], who covered the range when $p \geq 2/3$. So altogether, these results cover the entire range of probabilities p and show that whp $\mathcal{H}_\delta$ holds for any p . We emphasize that the ‘main’ range of probabilities is covered by [21] and the current paper.

As soon as $pn \gg \log n$, whp we have $\delta(G_{n,p}) = (1 + o(1))np$. So in this range, $G_{n,p}$ is close to being regular and so the above results yield an ‘approximate’ Hamilton decomposition of $G_{n,p}$, i.e. a set of edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles covering almost all edges of $G_{n,p}$. Such an approximate result for constant p was first proved by Frieze and Krivelevich [12]. In [20], we extended this approximate result to all $pn \gg \log n$. This was also proved independently by Krivelevich (personal communication).

As mentioned earlier, not many graphs are known which have property $\mathcal{H}$. Some examples are discussed in the surveys [1, 8] – mainly these deal with classes of graphs which have a high degree of symmetry. A more recent result in the area is due to Alspach, Bryant and Dyer [2], who showed that Paley graphs have property $\mathcal{H}$. Kim and Wormald [19] proved that whp property $\mathcal{H}$ holds for random regular graphs of fixed degree. Nash-Williams [27] conjectured that any d -regular graph on n vertices where $d \geq n/2$ has $\lfloor d/2 \rfloor$ edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles. (So such graphs would have property $\mathcal{H}$ if d is even.) An approximate version of this conjecture was proved by Christofides, Kühn and Osthus [9].

A very general result in this direction was obtained very recently by Kühn and Osthus [24], who showed that every regular ‘robustly expanding’ digraph of linear degree has a decomposition into edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles. The initial motivation for this result was that it implies that every (large) regular tournament has a Hamilton decomposition, which proved a long-standing conjecture of Kelly. However, as observed in [25], the main result of [24] has a number of further applications. For instance, it can be used to show an analogue of Conjecture 1 for random tournaments, which confirms a conjecture of Erdős. It can also be used to improve the above result in [9]. Similarly, the result in [25] which confirms property $\mathcal{H}_\delta$ for $G_{n,p}$ in the ‘very dense’ case is also derived from the main result of [24].

Hypergraph versions of Conjecture 1 were also recently considered by Frieze and Krivelevich [14], Frieze, Krivelevich and Loh [15] and Bal and Frieze [3].

A related line of research was initiated by Glebow, Krivelevich and Szabó [16], who investigated the ‘dual’ problem of finding the smallest set of Hamilton cycles which together cover all edges of $G_{n,p}$. As $G_{n,p}$ is whp not regular, this means that these Hamilton cycles will not be edge-disjoint and the best result one can hope for is a set of $\lceil \Delta(G_{n,p})/2 \rceil$ such Hamilton cycles. The main result in [16] shows that this bound is approximately true if $p \geq n^{-1+\varepsilon}$. Subsequently, Hefetz, Kühn, Lapinskas

and Osthus [17] achieved an exact version of this result for a similar range of p as in Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. *Let $\frac{\log^{117} n}{n} \leq p \leq 1 - n^{-1/8}$. Then whp the edges of $G_{n,p}$ can be covered by $\lceil \Delta(G)/2 \rceil$ Hamilton cycles.*

For p as above, this proves a conjecture of [16]. The crucial ingredient in the proof of Theorem 3 is the main technical result of the current paper (Lemma 47). Roughly speaking, given a graph H satisfying rather weak pseudorandomness conditions and a pseudorandom graph G_1 , which is allowed to be surprisingly sparse compared to H , Lemma 47 guarantees a set of edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles covering all edges of H .

Our proof of Theorem 2 exploits the fact that in the given range of p , there is a small but significant gap between the two smallest degrees of $G_{n,p}$. This is not necessarily the case when $np/\log n \rightarrow \infty$ very slowly (see e.g. [6]). This is one of the reasons for our restriction on the range of p in Theorem 2. Our method is based on a new technique of iterative improvements which is likely to have further applications. In particular, this idea was an essential feature of the proof in [24].

2. SKETCH OF PROOF AND ORGANISATION OF THE PAPER

Recall that in [20] we proved an approximate version of Conjecture 1, which finds a set of edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles covering all but an η proportion of the edges of $G_{n,p}$. Roughly speaking, the proof of this approximate result proceeds as follows:

- (1) First we choose $0 < \varepsilon \ll \eta$ and remove a random subgraph G_{thin} of density εp from $G_{n,p}$, and call the remaining graph G_{dense} . G_{thin} will be a pseudorandom graph, which is important in Step 4 below.
- (2) Next we apply Tutte's theorem to find an r -regular subgraph G_{reg} of G_{dense} , with $r = (1 - \varepsilon)np$.
- (3) Using a counting argument, one can show that most 2-factors of G_{reg} have few cycles. We remove such 2-factors one by one to obtain a set of $(1 - \varepsilon)r/2$ edge-disjoint 2-factors F_i which cover most edges of G_{reg} (and thus of $G_{n,p}$).
- (4) We now use the edges of G_{thin} to transform each F_i into a Hamilton cycle. More precisely, for each i in turn, we swap some edges between G_{thin} and F_i in such a way that the modified 2-factor is in fact a Hamilton cycle. For the next 2-factor, we use the 'current' version of G_{thin} . The fact that F_i has few cycles means that we do not need to swap out many edges of G_{thin} for this, and so G_{thin} retains the pseudorandomness properties which allow us to perform this step.

Our approach to Theorem 2 uses the above ideas, but some obvious obstacles arise. For simplicity, assume that n and $\delta(G_{n,p})$ are both even in what follows. We can use Tutte's theorem to show that $G_{n,p}$ has an r -regular subgraph G_{reg} with $r = \delta(G_{n,p})$ (this is harder than Step 2 but not too difficult for our range of p). So we can decompose G_{reg} into $r/2$ 2-factors F_i and would like to transform each F_i into a Hamilton cycle. At first sight, this looks infeasible for 2 reasons:

- (a) The counting argument in Step 3 only works for reasonably dense subgraphs of $G_{n,p}$. So the 2-factors produced by the later iterations in Step 3 will have too many cycles.
- (b) We no longer have a graph G_{thin} to use in Step 4.

For (a), it turns out that one can extend the counting argument so that it also works for sparser subgraphs of $G_{n,p}$, provided these subgraphs are pseudorandom (see Lemmas 24 and 26).

A very useful observation which goes some way in solving problem (b) is the following: Let x_0 be the vertex of minimum degree in $G_{n,p}$. Then whp there is a small but significant gap between $d(x_0)$ and $d(x)$ for any $x \neq x_0$ in $G_{n,p}$ (the gap has size close to $\sqrt{np}$). Let G_{left} be the subgraph of $G_{n,p}$ consisting of all the edges not in G_{reg} . Then G_{left} has density about $\sqrt{p/n}$, and we could try to use G_{left} to merge 2-factors instead of the graph G_{thin} in Step 4. One problem here is that G_{left} is not actually pseudorandom as it is just the ‘leftover’ from the Tutte application.

We use the following idea to overcome this problem. Right at the start, we remove the edges of a random subgraph G_5 of density p_5 from $G_{n,p}$, where $np_5 \ll \sqrt{np}$. Let x_0 be the vertex of minimum degree of the remaining subgraph G_1 of $G_{n,p}$. The choice of p_5 implies that x_0 will also be the vertex of minimum degree in $G_{n,p}$. Now add all edges of G_5 which are incident to x_0 to G_1 . Then $\delta(G_1) = \delta(G_{n,p})$ and it turns out that we can still apply Tutte’s theorem to obtain an r -regular subgraph G_{reg} of G_1 (see Lemma 22). Again we obtain a decomposition of G_{reg} into 2-factors F_i .

The key advantage of this method is that $G_5 - x_0$ will be pseudorandom, and so one can use G_5 in the same way as G_{thin} to merge the F_i into Hamilton cycles. However, it turns out that G_5 is far too sparse to complete the process: if e.g. p is a constant, then our bound on the total number of cycles in the F_i is significantly larger than $n^{3/2}$. On the other hand, G_5 has significantly fewer than $n^{3/2}$ edges. To reduce the number of cycles in F_i by 1, we need about $\log n$ edges from G_5 . So even if we return the same number of edges from F_i to G_5 each time, we cannot assume G_5 to be pseudorandom after dealing with even a small proportion of the F_i .

Our main idea is to use an iterative approach to overcome this. Choose p_2, p_3 and p_4 so that $p_5 \ll p_4 \ll p_3 \ll p_2 \ll p$. Then choose randomly edge-disjoint subgraphs G_i of $G_{n,p}$, of density p_i , for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$. (For simplicity, assume that G_2, G_3 and G_4 are regular of even degree in what follows.) Let G_1 be the remaining subgraph of $G_{n,p}$ (with all of the edges of G_5 which are incident to x_0 added to G_1) and consider $\delta(G_1)/2$ edge-disjoint 2-factors of F_i of G_1 . Then as our first iteration we transform all the F_i into Hamilton cycles, where G_2 plays the role of G_{thin} . In the second iteration, we do the same for (the leftover of) G_2 , with G_3 playing the role of G_{thin} , and so on until we reach G_5 . (Note that there is no need to do anything with the leftover of G_5 , as G_5 contains no edges incident to x_0 .) In total, this gives us a set of $\lfloor (\delta(G_1) + \delta(G_2) + \delta(G_3) + \delta(G_4))/2 \rfloor = \lfloor d(x_0)/2 \rfloor$ edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles which together contain all of the edges incident to x_0 .

The new problem that we now face is that e.g. in the second iteration the graph G_2 is a ‘leftover’ from the first iteration. So its pseudorandom properties are not as strong as we need them to be (e.g. in order to apply the strengthened version of the counting argument in Step 3) due to the existence of some ‘bad edges’ which were moved into G_2 from the F_i during the first iteration.

In order to deal with this, we perform some intermediate steps (about $\tau = \log n / \log \log n$ per iteration) using yet more random graphs $G_{(2,j)}$ (which we must also remove from $G_{n,p}$ initially). So first $G_{(2,1)}$ plays the role of G_{thin} when transforming the 2-factors of G_1 into Hamilton cycles. We then transform (the leftover of) $G_{(2,1)}$ into Hamilton cycles using $G_{(2,2)}$ and $G_{(2,3)}$, and then the leftover of $G_{(2,2)}$ and $G_{(2,3)}$ using $G_{(2,4)}$ and $G_{(2,5)}$, etc. Roughly speaking, after τ iterations we will have replaced $G_{(2,1)}$ by a graph $G_{(2,2\tau+1)}$ of almost the same density as $G_{(2,1)}$, but containing no bad edges (see Lemma 47). So it is now possible to carry out the second iteration (as described in the previous paragraphs) with $G_{(2,2\tau+1)}$ in place of G_2 and $G_{(3,1)}$ in place of G_3 , and similarly the third and further iterations.

Thus Lemma 47 can be regarded as one of the key statements of the paper, and we believe it is of independent interest: as indicated above, it states that given a regular graph H_0 (which satisfies some fairly weak quasirandomness conditions) and a graph H which is the union of many quasirandom graphs $G_{(i,j)}$, we can find a set of edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles in $H_0 \cup H$ covering all edges of H_0 , even if H is allowed to be much sparser than H_0 .

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 4, we define the pseudorandomness properties we need in the proof and show that with very high probability they are satisfied by $G_{n,p}$. In Section 5 we use Tutte's theorem find an analogue of G_{reg} . In Section 6 we use our extended counting argument to split this analogue of G_{reg} into 2-factors. In Section 7 we show how to merge the cycles in each of these 2-factors into a Hamilton cycle. Finally in Section 8 we combine the results from the rest of the paper to prove Theorem 2, using the iterative approach discussed above.

3. NOTATION

Throughout the paper we use the following notation: for a graph G and sets A, B of vertices of G , we write $e_G(A, B)$ for the number of edges of G with one endpoint in A and the other in B . Let $e_G(A) = e_G(A, A)$. For a graph G , let $e(G)$ denote the number of edges of G , and for a spanning subgraph H of G , let $G \setminus H$ denote the graph obtained by removing the edges of H from G . $N_G(A)$ is always taken to be the external neighbourhood of A , i.e., $N_G(A) = (\bigcup_{x \in A} N(x)) \setminus A$. $\log$ denotes the natural logarithm, and we write $\log^a n$ for $(\log n)^a$.

Since we are aiming to prove a result with high probability, we may and do assume throughout the paper that n is always sufficiently large for our estimates to hold. Further we omit floor and ceiling symbols, and assume large quantities to be integers, whenever this does not have a significant effect on the argument.

4. PSEUDORANDOM GRAPHS

Our aim in this section is to establish several properties of random graphs which we will use later on, mainly regarding the degree sequence and expansion of small sets. For many of these, the fact that they hold whp in $G_{n,p}$ is well known; however, we need them to hold in $\log n / \log \log n$ random spanning subgraphs of $G_{n,p}$ simultaneously. To accomplish this we first show that they hold in $G_{n,p}$ with probability $1 - O(1/\log n)$, and then take a union bound.

The following useful definition is due to Thomason [29]. It involves tighter bounds than the similar and more common notion of ε -regularity. We will rely on these in the proof of Theorem 2.

Definition 4. Let $p, \beta \geq 0$ with $p \leq 1$. A graph G is (p, β) -jumbled if $|e_G(S) - p\binom{s}{2}| \leq \beta s$ for every $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| = s$.

We will often use the following immediate consequence of Definition 4: Let G be a (p, β) -jumbled graph and let $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ be disjoint. Then

$$(1) \quad |e_G(S, T) - p|S||T|| \leq 2\beta(|S| + |T|).$$

To see this, note that $e_G(S, T) = e_G(S \cup T) - e_G(S) - e_G(T)$; now applying Definition 4 and using the triangle inequality implies (1).

The following two definitions formalise the notion of 'degree gap', which we need in our proof.

Definition 5. Let G be a graph on n vertices with a vertex x_0 of minimum degree, and let $u \leq n$. Then G is u -jumping if every vertex of G apart from x_0 has degree at least $\delta(G) + u$.

Definition 6. Let G be a graph on n vertices. For a set $T \subseteq V(G)$, let $\bar{d}_G(T)$ be the average degree of the vertices of T in G . Then G is strongly 2-jumping if $\bar{d}_G(T) \geq \delta(G) + \min\{|T| - 1, \log^2 n\}$ for every $T \subseteq V(G)$.

Note that if G is strongly 2-jumping then it is also 2-jumping. In addition to these three properties we will use several other bounds concerning the degree sequence and edge distribution of a random graph. The following definition collects these properties together.

Definition 7. Call a graph G on n vertices p -pseudorandom if all of the following hold:

- (a) G is $(p, 2\sqrt{np(1-p)})$ -jumbled.
- (b) For any disjoint sets $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| = s$ and $|T| = t$,
 - (i) if $(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t}) \frac{\log n}{p} \geq \frac{7}{2}$, then $e_G(S, T) \leq 2(s+t) \log n$,
 - (ii) if $(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t}) \frac{\log n}{p} \leq \frac{7}{2}$, then $e_G(S, T) \leq 7stp$,
 - (iii) if $\frac{\log n}{sp} \geq \frac{7}{4}$, then $e_G(S) \leq 2s \log n$, and
 - (iv) if $\frac{\log n}{sp} \leq \frac{7}{4}$, then $e_G(S) \leq 7s^2p/2$.
- (c) $np - 2\sqrt{np \log n} \leq \delta(G) \leq np - 200\sqrt{np(1-p)}$ and $\Delta(G) \leq np + 2\sqrt{np \log n}$.
- (d) G is strongly 2-jumping.

Definition 7(a) gives good bounds on the densities of large subgraphs but not on those of very small subgraphs, which is why we need (b).

The remainder of this section will be mainly devoted to showing that the random graphs we consider in the rest of the paper are in fact pseudorandom (see Lemma 15). For (a), (b) and (c) we will prove slightly stronger bounds, as these will be used in [17]. We will need the following large deviation bounds on the binomial distribution, proved in [18] (as Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 respectively):

Lemma 8. Let $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$. Then the following properties hold:

- (i) If $h > 0$, then $\mathbb{P}[X \leq np - h] < e^{-h^2/2np}$.
- (ii) If $\varepsilon \leq 3/2$, then $\mathbb{P}[|X - np| \geq \varepsilon np] < 2e^{-\varepsilon^2 np/3}$.
- (iii) If $c > 1$ and $c' = \log c - 1 + 1/c$, then for any $a \geq cnp$, $\mathbb{P}[X \geq a] < e^{-c'a}$.

The following lemma implies that the property in Definition 7(a) holds with very high probability in $G_{n,p}$ for the desired range of p .

Lemma 9. Suppose that $np/\log^2 n \rightarrow \infty$ and $n(1-p)/\log^2 n \rightarrow \infty$, and let $G \sim G_{n,p}$. Then the probability that G is not $(p, \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{np(1-p)})$ -jumbled is at most $2/n^2$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $0 < p \leq 1/2$ (by considering the complement of G if $p > 1/2$). For each set $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| = s$, we have that $e(S) \sim \text{Bin}(\binom{s}{2}, p)$. Let

$$\varepsilon = \frac{3\sqrt{n(1-p)}}{(s-1)\sqrt{p}} \text{ and } N = \binom{s}{2}$$

and note that $\varepsilon p N = \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{np(1-p)}s$. Call S bad if $|e_G(S) - pN| \geq \varepsilon p N$. We consider the following cases:

Case 1: $s \geq 2\sqrt{\frac{n(1-p)}{p}} + 1$. Then $\varepsilon \leq 3/2$, and hence by Lemma 8(ii) we have that the probability of S being bad is at most $2e^{-\varepsilon^2 pN/3}$. But since $p \leq 1/2$, we have $\varepsilon^2 pN/3 = \frac{3ns(1-p)}{2(s-1)} \geq \frac{3n}{4}$. So the probability that S is bad is at most $e^{-3n/4}$.

Case 2: $s \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{n(1-p)}{p}} + 1$. Since $\varepsilon \geq 3/2$ in this case, we have that $\varepsilon pN \geq pN$ and hence $\mathbb{P}[e_G(S) < pN - \varepsilon pN] = 0$. Let $c = \varepsilon + 1$, so $c \geq 5/2$. Let $c' = \log c - 1 + 1/c$ and note that since c' is an increasing function of c for $c > 1$, we have $c' \geq \log(5/2) - 1 + 2/5 > 1/4$. Now by Lemma 8(iii) applied with $a = (1 + \varepsilon)pN$, the probability that S is bad is at most $e^{-c'(1+\varepsilon)pN} \leq e^{-c'\sqrt{np(1-p)}s} \leq e^{-4s \log n} = n^{-4s}$.

We now take a union bound on the probability that there exists a bad set S . Firstly for any $s \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{n(1-p)}{p}} + 1$, the probability that there is some bad set S with $|S| = s$ is at most $\binom{n}{s} n^{-4s} < n^{-3s} \leq n^{-3}$. Summing over all such s , we have an error bound of $n^{1-3} = 1/n^2$. Further the probability that there exists a bad set S with $|S| = s \geq 2\sqrt{\frac{n(1-p)}{p}} + 1$ is at most $2^n e^{-3n/4} \leq 1/n^2$. Now adding these two bounds completes the proof. $\square$

To check the properties in Definition 7(b) we can use the following two simple lemmas, which are slight strengthenings of Lemmas 5 and 6 respectively in [20].

Lemma 10. *Let $G \sim G_{n,p}$. Then with probability at least $1 - 1/n^2$, the following properties hold for any disjoint $A, B \subseteq [n]$, with $|A| = a, |B| = b$:*

- (i) *If $\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right) \frac{\log n}{p} \geq \frac{7}{2}$, then $e_G(A, B) \leq \frac{3}{2}(a + b) \log n$.*
- (ii) *If $\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right) \frac{\log n}{p} \leq \frac{7}{2}$, then $e_G(A, B) \leq 6abp$.*

Proof. Let $X := e(A, B)$. So $X \sim \text{Bin}(|A||B|, p)$. Write $t := \frac{3}{2}(|A| + |B|) \log n$. First suppose that $\left(\frac{1}{|A|} + \frac{1}{|B|}\right) \frac{\log n}{p} \geq \frac{7}{2}$. Then $t \geq \frac{21}{4}|A||B|p$, and so by Lemma 8(iii) applied with $c = \frac{21}{4}$ we have

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{P}(X \geq t) < e^{-(\log \frac{21}{4} - \frac{17}{21})t} < e^{-\frac{4t}{5}} = n^{-\frac{6}{5}(|A|+|B|)}.$$

If $|A| + |B| \leq 20$ then $X \leq |A||B| \leq t$. Otherwise, (2) implies that

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq t) < n^{-4} \frac{1}{n^{|A||B|}},$$

and so the result follows by a union bound.

Now suppose $\left(\frac{1}{|A|} + \frac{1}{|B|}\right) \frac{\log n}{p} \leq \frac{7}{2}$, so that $t \leq \frac{21}{4}|A||B|p$. Then, from Lemma 8(iii) applied with $c = 6$ and $a = 6|A||B|p$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq 6|A||B|p) \leq e^{-(\log 6 - \frac{5}{6})6|A||B|p} < e^{-\frac{21}{4}|A||B|p} \leq e^{-t},$$

and so the result holds as before. $\square$

The proof of the next result is almost the same as that of Lemma 10, and so we omit it.

Lemma 11. *Let $G \sim G(n, p)$. Then with probability at least $1 - 1/n^2$, the following properties hold for every $A \subseteq V(G)$ with $|A| = a$:*

- (i) *If $\frac{\log n}{ap} \geq \frac{7}{4}$, then $e_G(A) \leq \frac{3}{2}a \log n$.*
- (ii) *If $\frac{\log n}{ap} \leq \frac{7}{4}$, then $e_G(A) \leq 3a^2p$.*

It remains to establish the bounds in Definition 7(c) on the minimum and maximum degree of $G_{n,p}$ (see Lemma 13), and the fact that $G_{n,p}$ is strongly 2-jumping with probability $1 - O(1/\log n)$ (see Lemma 14). For this we need estimates on the binomial distribution which do not follow from standard Chernoff bounds (see Lemma 12). These estimates use the following notation, where $X \sim \text{Bin}(n-1, p)$:

- $b(r) = \mathbb{P}[X = r] = \binom{n-1}{r} p^r (1-p)^{n-r-1}$,
- $B(m_1, m_2) = \mathbb{P}[m_1 \leq X \leq m_2]$, and
- $B(m) = \mathbb{P}[X \leq m]$.

$b'(r)$, $B'(m_1, m_2)$ and $B'(m)$ are defined similarly for $X \sim \text{Bin}(n-2, p)$.

Lemma 12. *Suppose that $np(1-p) \rightarrow \infty$. Let $m_1 = np - 2\sqrt{np \log n}$, $m_2 = np - 200\sqrt{np(1-p)}$, $m_3 = np - \frac{15}{8}\sqrt{np \log n}$ and $\lambda = 1 - 1/(8 \log^3 n)$. Then*

- (i) $nB(m_2) \geq nb(m_2) \geq \sqrt{n}/\log n$, and
- (ii) $nB(m_1) \leq nB(m_3) \leq 1/\sqrt{n}$.

Suppose in addition that $p \geq 48^2 \log^7 n/n$ and $1-p \geq 36n^{-1/2} \log^{7/2} n$. Then

- (iii) $\frac{b(r-1)}{b(r)} \geq \lambda$ for each $r \geq m_1 - 8 \log^3 n$,
- (iv) $\frac{B(m_1 - 8 \log^3 n, r)}{b(r)} \geq 4 \log^3 n$ for each $r \geq m_1$, and
- (v) $\frac{b'(r)}{b(r)} \leq 1 + 1/\log n$ for each $r \geq m_1$.

Proof. (i) Let $X \sim \text{Bin}(n-1, p)$, $\sigma = \sqrt{(n-1)p(1-p)}$ and $m'_2 = np - 201\sqrt{np(1-p)}$. Now the de Moivre-Laplace Theorem (see e.g., Theorem 1.6 of [6]) states that if $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_2 > x_1$ are constants, then

$$B((n-1)p + x_1\sigma, (n-1)p + x_2\sigma) = (1 + o(1))(\phi(x_2) - \phi(x_1)),$$

where $\phi(x)$ is the cumulative density function of the normal distribution. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} & B(np + x_1\sqrt{np(1-p)}, np + x_2\sqrt{np(1-p)}) \\ &= (1 + o(1))B((n-1)p + x_1\sigma, (n-1)p + x_2\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

To see this, note that the boundaries of the interval change by at most 2 and that $b(r) \rightarrow 0$ for any r . Hence we have that

$$B(m'_2, m_2) = (1 + o(1))c,$$

where $c = \phi(-200) - \phi(-201)$ is constant. Clearly $b(r) \leq b(m_2)$ for each $m'_2 \leq r \leq m_2$. So

$$nb(m_2) \geq \frac{(1 + o(1))cn}{\sqrt{np(1-p)} + 1} \geq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log n}.$$

(ii) Let $X \sim \text{Bin}(n-1, p)$. By Lemma 8(i) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left[X \leq np - 15\sqrt{np \log n}/8\right] &< \mathbb{P}\left[X \leq (n-1)p - 7\sqrt{np \log n}/4\right] \\ &\leq e^{-(7\sqrt{np \log n}/4)^2/2np} \leq e^{-\frac{3}{2} \log n} = \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) We have

$$\frac{b(r-1)}{b(r)} = \frac{\binom{n-1}{r-1} p^{r-1} (1-p)^{n-r}}{\binom{n-1}{r} p^r (1-p)^{n-r-1}} = \frac{(n-1)! r! (n-r-1)! (1-p)}{(n-1)! (r-1)! (n-r)! p} = \frac{r(1-p)}{(n-r)p},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{b(r-1)}{b(r)} &= \frac{np-r}{(n-r)p} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{np\log n} + 8\log^3 n}{(n-np+2\sqrt{np\log n}+8\log^3 n)p} \\ &\leq \frac{3\sqrt{np\log n}}{np-np^2} = \frac{3\sqrt{\log n}}{(1-p)\sqrt{np}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $p \geq 1/2$ then $(1-p)\sqrt{np} \geq (36n^{-1/2} \log^{7/2} n)(\sqrt{n/2})$ and the result follows. On the other hand if $p \leq 1/2$ then $(1-p)\sqrt{np} \geq (48 \log^{7/2} n)/2$ and again the result follows.

(iv) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B(m_1 - 8\log^3 n, r)}{b(r)} &\geq 1 + \frac{b(r-1)}{b(r)} + \frac{b(r-2)}{b(r)} + \dots + \frac{b(r-8\log^3 n)}{b(r)} \\ &\geq 1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \dots + \lambda^{8\log^3 n} = \frac{1 - \lambda^{8\log^3 n+1}}{1 - \lambda} \\ &\geq \frac{1 - e^{-1}}{1/(8\log^3 n)} \geq 4\log^3 n, \end{aligned}$$

where in the final line we use that $\lambda \leq e^{-1/(8\log^3 n)}$.

(v)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b'(r)}{b(r)} &= \frac{\binom{n-2}{r} p^r (1-p)^{n-r-2}}{\binom{n-1}{r} p^r (1-p)^{n-r-1}} = \frac{(n-2)! r! (n-r-1)!}{(n-1)! r! (n-r-2)! (1-p)} \\ &= \frac{n-r-1}{(n-1)(1-p)} = 1 + \frac{np-p-r}{(n-1)(1-p)} \leq 1 + \frac{2\sqrt{np\log n}}{(n-1)(1-p)} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{3\sqrt{p\log n}}{\sqrt{n}(1-p)} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\log n}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. $\square$

Lemma 13. *Let $G \sim G_{n,p}$. Suppose that $np(1-p) \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

- (i) $\mathbb{P} \left[\delta(G) \leq np - \frac{15}{8} \sqrt{np\log n} \right] \leq 1/\sqrt{n}$, and
- (ii) $\mathbb{P} \left[\Delta(G) \geq np + \frac{15}{8} \sqrt{np\log n} \right] \leq 1/\sqrt{n}$.

Suppose further that $p \geq 48^2 \log^7 n/n$ and $1-p \geq 36n^{-1/2} \log^{7/2} n$. Let $m_1 = np - 2\sqrt{np\log n}$, $m_2 = np - 200\sqrt{np(1-p)}$ and let $m_1 \leq m \leq m_2$ be such that $nB(m) \geq \log n$ and $nB(m-1) \leq \log n$. Then

- (iii) $\mathbb{P} [\delta(G) > m] \leq 4/\log n$, and
- (iv) $\mathbb{P} [\delta(G) > np - 200\sqrt{np(1-p)}] \leq 4/\log n$.

Note that the results in Chapter 3 of [6] give sharper bounds which hold with high probability. However as mentioned earlier, we need our error probability to be smaller. Since this does seem to affect the precise results we need to prove the bounds explicitly. Also note that by Lemma 12(i) and (ii), $nB(m_1) \leq \log n \leq nB(m_2)$ (with room to spare), and so there exists $m_1 \leq m \leq m_2$ such that $nB(m) \geq \log n$, but $nB(m-1) \leq \log n$. Thus (iii) is not vacuous.

Proof. (i) By taking a union bound we have that

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\delta(G) \leq np - 15\sqrt{np\log n}/8 \right] \leq nB(np - 15\sqrt{np\log n}/8) \leq 1/\sqrt{n},$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 12(ii).

(ii) Apply (i) to the complement of G .

(iii) Let Y be the number of vertices $v \in V(G)$ such that $m_1 \leq d(v) \leq m$. As $nB(m_1) \leq 1/\sqrt{n}$ by Lemma 12(ii), we have

$$\mathbb{E}(Y) = nB(m_1, m) \geq nB(m) - nB(m_1) \geq \log n - 1/\sqrt{n} \geq 2 \log n/3$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_2(Y) = n(n-1)(pB'(m_1-1, m-1)^2 + (1-p)B'(m_1, m)^2) \leq n^2 B'(m_1, m)^2.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_2(Y)}}{\mathbb{E}(Y)} \leq \frac{\sum_{r=m_1}^m nb'(r)}{\sum_{r=m_1}^m nb(r)} = \frac{\sum_{r=m_1}^m nb(r) \frac{b'(r)}{b(r)}}{\sum_{r=m_1}^m nb(r)} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\log n},$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 12(v). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Y) &= \mathbb{E}_2(Y) + \mathbb{E}(Y) - \mathbb{E}(Y)^2 \leq (1 + 1/\log n)^2 \mathbb{E}(Y)^2 + \mathbb{E}(Y) - \mathbb{E}(Y)^2 \\ &= (2/\log n + 1/\log^2 n) \mathbb{E}(Y)^2 + \mathbb{E}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

So by Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = 0) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{\mathbb{E}(Y)^2} \leq \frac{2}{\log n} + \frac{1}{\log^2 n} + \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(Y)} \leq \frac{4}{\log n}.$$

(iv) This follows immediately from (iii) and the remark after the lemma statement. $\square$

Lemma 14. *Let $G \sim G_{n,p}$. Suppose that $p \geq 48^2 \log^7 n/n$ and $1-p \geq 36n^{-1/2} \log^{7/2} n$. Then with probability at least $1 - 6/\log n$, G is strongly 2-jumping.*

Similarly to Lemma 13, note that Theorem 3.15 in [6] would imply Lemma 14 if we only required the statement to hold with high probability.

Proof. Let $m_1 = np - 2\sqrt{np \log n}$, $m_2 = np - 200\sqrt{np(1-p)}$ and $\lambda = 1 - 1/(8 \log^3 n)$. Let $m_1 \leq m \leq m_2$ be such that $nB(m) \geq \log n$, but $nB(m-1) \leq \log n$. By Lemma 13(iii) there exists a vertex of degree at most m with probability at least $1 - 4/\log n$. So it suffices to show that with probability at least $1 - 2/\log n$ there are no two vertices each of degree at most $m + 2 \log^2 n$ whose degrees differ by at most 1.

Let Z be the number of (unordered) pairs v_1, v_2 of vertices such that $m_1 \leq \min\{d(v_1), d(v_2)\} \leq m + 2 \log^2 n$ and $|d(v_1) - d(v_2)| \leq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(Z) &\leq \binom{n}{2} \sum_{r=m_1}^{m+2 \log^2 n} pb'(r-1)^2 + 2pb'(r-1)b'(r) + (1-p)b'(r)^2 + 2(1-p)b'(r)b'(r+1) \\ &\leq \frac{n^2}{2} \sum_{r=m_1}^{m+2 \log^2 n} 3b'(r)b'(r+1) \leq \frac{3n^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log n}\right)^2 \cdot \sum_{r=m_1}^{m+2 \log^2 n} b(r)b(r+1) \\ &\leq 2n^2 b(m + 2 \log^2 n + 1) B(m_1, m + 2 \log^2 n), \end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality follows from Lemma 12(v). Note that

$$(3) \quad \lambda^{2 \log^2 n + 2} \geq 1 - \frac{2 \log^2 n + 2}{8 \log^3 n} \geq \frac{6}{7}.$$

Now by Lemma 12(iii),

$$\begin{aligned}
nb(m_1, m + 2\log^2 n + 1) &= \sum_{r=m_1}^{m+2\log^2 n+1} nb(r) \leq \sum_{r=m_1}^{m+2\log^2 n+1} \frac{nb(r - 2\log^2 n - 2)}{\lambda^{2\log^2 n+2}} \\
&= \frac{nb(m_1 - 2\log^2 n - 2, m - 1)}{\lambda^{2\log^2 n+2}} \\
&\leq \frac{nb(m - 1)}{\lambda^{2\log^2 n+2}} \leq \frac{\log n}{\lambda^{2\log^2 n+2}} \stackrel{(3)}{\leq} \frac{7\log n}{6}.
\end{aligned}$$

So Lemma 12(iv) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
nb(m + 2\log^2 n + 1) &\leq \frac{nb(m_1 - 8\log^3 n, m + 2\log^2 n + 1)}{4\log^3 n} \\
&= \frac{nb(m_1 - 8\log^3 n, m_1 - 1) + nb(m_1, m + 2\log^2 n + 1)}{4\log^3 n} \\
&\leq \frac{8\log^3 n \cdot nb(m_1) + \frac{7}{6}\log n}{4\log^3 n}
\end{aligned}$$

and now since $b(m_1) \leq B(m_1)$, Lemma 12(ii) implies that

$$nb(m + 2\log^2 n + 1) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{7}{24\log^2 n} \leq \frac{1}{3\log^2 n}.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}(Z) \leq 2 \cdot \frac{7\log n}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{3\log^2 n} \leq \frac{1}{\log n}.$$

So by Markov's inequality $\mathbb{P}[Z \geq 1] \leq 1/\log n$. Now by Lemma 13(i), the probability that there are any vertices at all of degree at most m_1 is at most $1/\sqrt{n}$. So with probability at least $1 - 1/\log n - 1/\sqrt{n} \geq 1 - 2/\log n$, there are no pairs of vertices of degree at most $m + 2\log^2 n$, whose degrees differ by at most 1. $\square$

We now combine the above results to prove that our desired pseudorandomness conditions hold whp in $G_{n,p}$.

Lemma 15. *Let $G \sim G_{n,p}$. Suppose that $p \geq 48^2 \log^7 n/n$ and $1-p \geq 36n^{-1/2} \log^{7/2} n$. Then the probability that G is not p -pseudorandom is at most $11/\log n$.*

Proof. By Lemmas 9, 10, 11, 13(i), 13(ii), 13(iv) and 14 the probability that G is not p -pseudorandom is at most

$$\frac{2}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{4}{\log n} + \frac{6}{\log n} < \frac{11}{\log n}.$$

$\square$

Lemma 16. *Let $G_0 \sim G_{n,p_0}$. Let $p_1, \dots, p_5$ be positive reals such that $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4 + p_5 = p_0$. Let $m_2, m_3, m_4 \leq \log n / \log \log n$ be positive integers. For each $i = 2, 3, 4$, let $p_{(i,1)}, \dots, p_{(i,2m_i+1)}$ be positive reals such that $p_{(i,1)} + p_{(i,2)} + \dots + p_{(i,2m_i+1)} = p_i$. Suppose that $48^2 \log^7 n/n \leq p \leq 1 - 36n^{-1/2} \log^{7/2} n$ whenever $p = p_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 5$, $p = p_{(i,j)}$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2m_i + 1$, or $p = p_i + p_{i+1}$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$.*

Define G_1, G_5 and $G_{(i,1)}, \dots, G_{(i,2m_i+1)}$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$, as follows. For each edge ab of G_0 :

- *With probability p_1/p_0 let ab be an edge of G_1 .*

- For $i = 2, 3, 4$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2m_i + 1$, with probability $p_{(i,j)}/p_0$ let ab be an edge of $G_{(i,j)}$.
- Otherwise (i.e. with probability p_5/p_0), let ab be an edge of G_5 .

For $i = 2, 3, 4$, let $G_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{2m_i+1} G_{(i,j)}$. Then whp, the following properties hold:

- (i) G_i is p_i -pseudorandom for each $0 \leq i \leq 5$,
- (ii) $G_{(i,j)}$ is $p_{(i,j)}$ -pseudorandom for $i = 2, 3, 4$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2m_i + 1$, and
- (iii) $G_i \cup G_{i+1}$ is $(p_i + p_{i+1})$ -pseudorandom for $i = 2, 3, 4$.

Proof. First note that $G_{(i,j)} \sim G_{n,p_{(i,j)}}$ for each i, j and that $G_i \sim G_{n,p_i}$ for each i . Further, $G_i \cup G_{i+1} \sim G_{n,(p_i+p_{i+1})}$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$.

Hence by Lemma 15 for each graph, the probability that it is not p -pseudorandom for the relevant value of p is at most $11/\log n$, and so by taking a union bound we have that the probability that at least one of these graphs is not pseudorandom is at most $67/\log \log n$, which tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

At one point in the proof of Theorem 2 we will need $G_{n,p}$ to be u -jumping for some $u > 2$. For this we use the following result.

Lemma 17. *Let $G \sim G_{n,p}$ with $np/\log n \rightarrow \infty$ and $n(1-p)/\log n \rightarrow \infty$. Then with high probability, G is $8\sqrt{np(1-p)}/\log^{3/4} n$ -jumping.*

We will apply this lemma only once (in Section 8), so in this case a whp estimate is sufficient.

Proof. The conditions of the lemma immediately imply that $np(1-p)/\log n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $m = \min\{(np(1-p)/\log n)^{1/5}, \log^{1/16} n\}$ and let $\alpha = 8\log^{-1/16} n$; note that $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Applying Theorem 3.15 in [6] to the complement of G , we have that G is u -jumping where

$$u = \frac{\alpha}{m^2} \left(\frac{np(1-p)}{\log n} \right)^{1/2} \geq \frac{8\sqrt{np(1-p)}}{\log^{3/4} n}.$$

$\square$

5. CONSTRUCTING REGULAR SPANNING SUBGRAPHS

The first step in our general strategy for finding a large collection of Hamilton cycles in a p -pseudorandom graph G is to construct a regular spanning subgraph of G of degree $\delta(G)$ if $\delta(G)$ is even, or of degree $\delta(G) - 1$ if $\delta(G)$ is odd. The aim of this section is to establish that this is always possible for our range of p .

We use Tutte's r -factor theorem: Given a graph G , an integer r , and disjoint subsets S and T of $V(G)$, let

$$R_r(S, T) = \sum_{v \in T} d(v) - e_G(S, T) + r(|S| - |T|).$$

Let $Q_r(S, T)$ be the number of components C of $G - (S \cup T)$, such that $r|C| + e_G(C, T)$ is odd.

Theorem 18 (Tutte [31]). *Let G be a graph and r be a positive integer. Then G contains an r -factor if and only if $R_r(S, T) \geq Q_r(S, T)$ for every pair S, T of disjoint subsets of $V(G)$.*

For the particular case of a 1-factor (that is, a perfect matching), we use the following simpler result:

Theorem 19 (Tutte [30]). *Let G be a graph. Then G has a perfect matching if and only if for every $S \subseteq V(G)$, the number of components of $G - S$ which have an odd number of vertices is at most $|S|$.*

In order to make use of Theorem 18 we first need to bound $Q_r(S, T)$. For this we use the following lemma:

Lemma 20. *Let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom graph on n vertices such that $r_G \geq \log n$. Let $r_H \geq \frac{549}{550}r_G$, and let H be a spanning subgraph of G such that $\delta(H) = r_H$. Let $W \subseteq V(G)$, and suppose that $\delta(H[W]) \geq r_H/3$. Then for any nonempty $B \subseteq W$, the number of components of $H[W \setminus B]$ is at most $|B|$. Moreover, $H[W]$ is connected.*

Proof. Suppose that a set B violates the first assertion.

Claim: $H[W \setminus B]$ cannot have two disjoint isolated sets (i.e., unions of components of $H[W \setminus B]$) each of size at least $n/32$.

To prove the claim, it suffices to show that for disjoint sets $S, T \subseteq W \setminus B$ such that $|S|, |T| \geq n/32$, we have $e_H(S, T) > 0$. To see that this holds, note that since G is $(r_G/n, 2\sqrt{r_G})$ -jumbled by Definition 7(a), (1) implies that

$$e_G(S, T) \geq \frac{r_G|S||T|}{n} - 4\sqrt{r_G}(|S| + |T|) \geq \frac{r_G n}{1024} - 4\sqrt{r_G}n.$$

But since $r_H \geq \frac{549}{550}r_G$, it follows that

$$|E(G) \setminus E(H)| = e(G) - e(H) \leq \frac{r_G n}{2} + 2\sqrt{r_G}n - \frac{549r_G n}{1100} = \frac{r_G n}{1100} + 2\sqrt{r_G}n,$$

and hence $e_H(S, T) > 0$, which proves the claim.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of components of $H[W \setminus B]$ of size less than $n/32$, and let $A = \bigcup \mathcal{C}$. Suppose that $|A| \geq n/8$. Then we can form an isolated set S such that $n/32 \leq |S| \leq n/16$ by taking successive unions of components in $\mathcal{C}$. Similarly we can form another isolated set T with $n/32 \leq |T| \leq n/16$ using the remaining components in $\mathcal{C}$. But now S and T are disjoint, which contradicts the claim. Hence $|A| < n/8$. Moreover the claim implies that $H[W \setminus B]$ has exactly one component which is not in $\mathcal{C}$.

Now since by assumption, $H[W \setminus B]$ has more than $|B|$ components, we have $|A| \geq |\mathcal{C}| \geq |B|$. Now since A is isolated in $H[W \setminus B]$, it follows that the $H[W]$ -neighbourhood of A lies entirely in B . Hence every edge of $H[W]$ which is incident to some vertex of A lies in $E_H(A) \cup E_H(A, B)$. It follows that $\sum_{v \in A} N_{H[W]}(v) \leq 2e_H(A) + e_H(A, B)$, noting that edges in $e_H(A)$ will be counted twice on the left-hand side. So

$$\begin{aligned} r_H|A|/3 &\leq |A|\delta(H[W]) \leq 2e_H(A) + e_H(A, B) \leq 2e_G(A) + e_G(A, B) \\ &\leq r_G|A|^2/n + 4\sqrt{r_G}|A| + r_G|A||B|/n + 4\sqrt{r_G}(|A| + |B|) \\ &\leq 2r_G|A|^2/n + 12\sqrt{r_G}|A| \leq \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{12}{\sqrt{r_G}}\right)r_G|A|, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction unless $|A| = 0$. But if $|A| = 0$ then we have $B = \emptyset$, which proves the assertion. The moreover part follows from the special case where $|B| = 1$. $\square$

Corollary 21. *Let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom graph with $r_G \geq \log^2 n$ and let G' be a graph obtained from G by first deleting an arbitrary matching M and then adding an arbitrary set of additional edges. Let r be an even integer. Then defining $Q_r(S, T)$ with respect to G' , any disjoint subsets S, T of $V(G)$ satisfy $Q_r(S, T) \leq |S| + |T|$.*

Proof. By Definition 7(c) we have that $\delta(G \setminus M) \geq r_G - 2\sqrt{r_G \log n} - 1 \geq \frac{549}{550}r_G$. Note that the number of components of $G' - B$ is always at most that of $(G \setminus M) - B$ for any $B \subseteq V(G)$. Now if $S \cup T \neq \emptyset$ then applying Lemma 20 with $G = G$, $H = G \setminus M$, $W = V(G)$ and $B = S \cup T$ implies that $H - (S \cup T)$ has at most $|S \cup T|$ components. It follows that $Q_r(S, T) \leq |S \cup T| = |S| + |T|$. On the other hand, if $S = T = \emptyset$ then Lemma 20 implies that H (and thus G') is connected. Let C be the unique component of G' and note that $r|C| + e_{G'}(C, T) = r|C|$, which is even. So in this case $Q_r(S, T) = 0 = |S| + |T|$. $\square$

We are now ready to prove that every pseudorandom graph G has a regular spanning subgraph whose degree is equal to $2\lfloor \delta(G)/2 \rfloor$. In fact we will prove the following slightly stronger statement, which gives the same result even if G is modified slightly from being pseudorandom.

Lemma 22. *Let G be a p -pseudorandom graph on n vertices such that $np(1-p)/\log^2 n \rightarrow \infty$.*

- (i) *For any vertex $x \in V(G)$, G contains an optimal matching which covers x .*
- (ii) *Let $u \leq 4\sqrt{np(1-p)}$, $u \neq 1$ and suppose in addition that G is $2u$ -jumping. Let G' be formed from G by adding u edges at the vertex x_0 of minimum degree, and if $\delta(G) + u$ is odd, also removing an arbitrary matching M . Let r be the greatest even integer which is at most $\delta(G) + u$. Then G' has an r -regular spanning subgraph.*

Note that if the matching we remove in (ii) covers x_0 , then $r = d_{G'}(x_0)$. The case of Lemma 22(ii) when $u = 0$ is the only place in the proof of Theorem 2 where we use the fact that a pseudorandom graph is strongly 2-jumping (i.e., Definition 7(d)). One can probably replace this by a weaker condition. On the other hand, Definition 7(a), (b) and (c) are probably not in themselves sufficient to prove Lemma 22.

Proof. (i) If n is even then Theorem 19 and Lemma 20 together imply that G has a perfect matching, and the result follows immediately. If n is odd remove any vertex $y \neq x$ from G . Now by Lemma 20 the number of components of $G - (S \cup \{y\})$ is at most $|S| + 1$ for any $S \subseteq V(G)$. But if the number of components is exactly $|S| + 1$, then at least one component must have an even number of vertices (otherwise we have $n - |S| - 1 \equiv |S| + 1 \pmod{2}$ which cannot hold). Hence we can apply Theorem 19 to $G - y$ and the result follows.

(ii) For disjoint sets $S, T \subseteq V(G)$, let $s = |S|$ and $\rho = |T|/|S|$. Throughout the remainder of the proof let $R_r(S, T)$ and $Q_r(S, T)$ be defined with respect to the graph G' . By Corollary 21, $Q_r(S, T) \leq |S| + |T| = s(\rho + 1)$ and so by Theorem 18 it suffices to show that $R_r(S, T) \geq s(\rho + 1)$ for all S and T . If T is nonempty, let $\bar{d}_G(T)$ be the average degree, in G , of the vertices of T , and define $\bar{d}_G(S)$, $\bar{d}_{G'}(T)$ and $\bar{d}_{G'}(S)$ similarly. Note that

$$R_r(S, T) = \bar{d}_{G'}(T)|T| - e_{G'}(S, T) + rs(1 - \rho).$$

Note also that $d_{G'}(x_0) \geq r$. We claim that $d_{G'}(x) \geq r + 2$ for all $x \neq x_0$. Indeed, if $u = 0$ and $\delta(G)$ is even, we have

$$d_{G'}(x) = d_G(x) \geq \delta(G) + 2 = r + 2$$

since G is 2-jumping by Definition 7(d). If $u = 0$ and $\delta(G)$ is odd, then similarly we have

$$d_{G'}(x) \geq d_G(x) - 1 \geq \delta(G) + 1 = r + 2.$$

If $u \geq 2$ and $\delta(G) + u$ is even, we have

$$d_{G'}(x) = d_G(x) \geq \delta(G) + 2u \geq r + 2,$$

and finally if $u \geq 2$ and $\delta(G) + u$ is odd, we have

$$d_{G'}(x) \geq d_G(x) - 1 \geq \delta(G) + 2u - 1 \geq r + 2.$$

This proves the claim. It follows immediately that unless $T = \{x_0\}$, we have $\bar{d}_{G'}(T) \geq r + 1$. Now we consider the following cases:

Case 1: $|S| = 0$. Observe that $R_r(S, T) = \bar{d}_{G'}(T)|T| - r|T|$ and $Q_r(S, T) \leq |T|$ by Corollary 21. If either $|T| = 0$ or $\bar{d}_{G'}(T) \geq r + 1$ then trivially $R_r(S, T) \geq |T| \geq Q_r(S, T)$. So we are left with the case in which $T = \{x_0\}$ and $d_{G'}(x_0) = r$.

In this case it suffices to prove that $Q_r(S, T) = 0$. To see that this holds, note that applying Lemma 20 with $H = G \setminus M$, $W = V(G)$ and $B = \{x_0\}$ implies that $G' - \{x_0\}$ is connected. But the unique component C of $G' - \{x_0\}$ satisfies $r|C| + e_{G'}(C, \{x_0\}) = r(n - 1) + r = rn$, and since r is even C cannot be odd.

Case 2: $|S| > 0$ and $\rho \leq 1/2$. Since $e_{G'}(S, T) \leq \bar{d}_{G'}(T)|T|$ and $|S| \geq 2|T|$, we have

$$R_r(S, T) \geq r(|S| - |T|) \geq \frac{r}{3}(|S| + |T|) \geq |S| + |T| \geq Q_r(S, T),$$

where the last inequality follows by Corollary 21.

Case 3: $|S| > 0$, $\rho \geq 1/2$ and $\left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{\rho s}\right) \frac{\log n}{p} \geq \frac{7}{2}$. In this case we have that $e_{G'}(S, T) \leq e_G(S, T) + (\rho + 1)s \leq 2(\rho + 1)s \log n + (\rho + 1)s$, where the last inequality follows from Definition 7(b). So

$$(4) \quad R_r(S, T) - Q_r(S, T) \geq \rho s(\bar{d}_{G'}(T) - 2 \log n - r - 2) + s(r - 2 \log n - 2),$$

and it suffices to prove that the right-hand side of (4) is non-negative. Now observe that if $\rho \leq \frac{r-2 \log n - 2}{2 \log n + 2}$, then this is immediate since $\bar{d}_{G'}(T) \geq r$. On the other hand, if $\rho \geq \frac{r-2 \log n - 2}{2 \log n + 2}$, then $|T| \geq \frac{r-2 \log n - 2}{2 \log n + 2} \geq \frac{r}{3 \log n} \geq 3 \log n$. So by Definition 7(d) we have $\bar{d}_{G'}(T) \geq r + 3 \log n - 1 \geq r + 2 \log n + 2$, and the result follows.

Case 4: $|S| > 0$, $\rho \geq 1/2$, $\left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{\rho s}\right) \frac{\log n}{p} \leq \frac{7}{2}$ and $\rho s \leq n/30$. In this case Definition 7(b) implies that $e_{G'}(S, T) \leq 7\rho s^2 p + u$. So

$$R_r(S, T) - Q_r(S, T) \geq \rho s(\bar{d}_{G'}(T) - r - 1) + s(r - 7\rho s p - u/s - 1),$$

and it suffices to prove that the right-hand side of this inequality is non-negative. Recall that $\bar{d}_{G'}(T) \geq r + 1$ as $|T| = \rho s \geq 2(\rho + 1) \log n / 7p \geq 2$, and so the first bracket is non-negative. Also $7\rho s p \leq np/4 < r/3$ by Definition 7(c), and $u/s < u < r/3$. Hence the second bracket is positive.

Case 5: $|S| > 0$, $\rho \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\rho s \geq n/30$. Since G is $(p, 2\sqrt{np(1-p)})$ -jumbled, (1) implies that

$$e_G(S, T) \leq \rho s^2 p + 4\sqrt{np(1-p)}s(\rho + 1).$$

Note that $\bar{d}_{G'}(T)|T| - e_{G'}(S, T) \geq \bar{d}_G(T)|T| - e_G(S, T) - u$. Now we claim that $\bar{d}_G(T)|T| - u \geq (np - 130\sqrt{np(1-p)})|T|$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_G(T)|T| &= 2e_G(T) + e_G(T, V(G) \setminus T) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{\geq} 2p \binom{|T|}{2} + p|T|(n - |T|) - 4\sqrt{np(1-p)}|T| - 4\sqrt{np(1-p)}n \\ &\geq np|T| - p|T| - (4n + 4|T|)\sqrt{np(1-p)} \geq (np - 130\sqrt{np(1-p)})|T| + u. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} R_r(S, T)/s &\geq \rho(np - 130\sqrt{np(1-p)}) - \rho sp - 4\sqrt{np(1-p)}(\rho + 1) + r(1 - \rho) \\ &\geq \rho(np - r - 142\sqrt{np(1-p)}) + r - \rho sp. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(G) - 1 \leq r \leq \delta(G) + u &\leq np - 200\sqrt{np(1-p)} + 4\sqrt{np(1-p)} \\ &= np - 196\sqrt{np(1-p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$R_r(S, T)/s \geq 54\rho\sqrt{np(1-p)} + \delta(G) - 1 - \rho sp \geq 50\rho\sqrt{np(1-p)} + \delta(G) - \rho sp.$$

Further as $(\rho + 1)s \leq n$, we have

$$\delta(G) - \rho sp \geq np - 2\sqrt{np \log n} - np \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho + 1} \right) = \frac{np}{\rho + 1} - 2\sqrt{np \log n}.$$

Now if $\rho \leq \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{\frac{np}{\log n}}$ then $\frac{np}{\rho+1} \geq \frac{np}{3\rho} \geq 2\sqrt{np \log n}$ and so $R_r(S, T)/s \geq 50\rho\sqrt{np(1-p)} \geq \rho + 1$.

On the other hand if $\rho \geq \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{\frac{np}{\log n}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{1-p}}$ then $2\rho\sqrt{np(1-p)} \geq 2\sqrt{np \log n}$ and so $R_r(S, T)/s \geq 48\rho\sqrt{np(1-p)} + np/(\rho + 1) \geq \rho + 1$. $\square$

We remark that as long as $np/\log^6 n \rightarrow \infty$ and $n(1-p)/\log^6 n \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e., under less restrictive assumptions than those of Theorem 2), Lemma 22 implies that whp $G_{n,p}$ has a $2\lceil \delta(G_{n,p})/2 \rceil$ -regular spanning subgraph. The fact that $G_{n,p}$ is whp p -pseudorandom for this range of p follows by Lemmas 9–11, Lemma 17 and Corollary 3.13 of [6].

6. SPLITTING INTO 2-FACTORS

Our aim in this section is show that under certain conditions, an even-regular graph H can be decomposed into 2-factors so that the sum of the number of cycles in these 2-factors is not too large. We would like such a result to hold for arbitrary even-regular graphs H , but this does not seem feasible for the densities that we consider. So we first show that it suffices for H to be a spanning subgraph of a pseudorandom graph G (see Corollary 27), and then that it suffices for H to be ‘partly’ pseudorandom (see Corollary 31). More precisely, we show that for any even-regular graph H' of degree $r_{H'}$, the union of H' with an even-regular graph H which is close to being p -pseudorandom may be decomposed into 2-factors with few cycles in total, provided only that p is somewhat larger than $r_{H'}/n$.

In order to bound the number of cycles in each 2-factor we will transfer the problem to a bipartite setting. This will allow us to use the following result (which was conjectured by van der Waerden), which gives a good bound on the total number of perfect matchings in a regular bipartite graph.

Theorem 23 (Egorychev [10], Falikman [11]). *Let $r \leq n$ be positive integers and let B be an r -regular bipartite graph with vertex classes of size n . Then the number of perfect matchings of B is at least $(\frac{r}{n})^n n!$.*

Given a bipartite graph B on vertex classes $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $V' = \{v'_1, \dots, v'_n\}$, and a matching M in B , let $D(M)$ be the digraph on $[n]$ formed by including an edge from a to b if and only if there is an edge of M between v_a and v'_b . Note that if P is a perfect matching then $D(P)$ is 1-regular. For an integer C , let $\mathcal{P}_C(B)$ be the set of perfect matchings P of B such that $D(P)$ has at least C cycles. Let $\mathcal{P}_{k,\ell}(B)$ be the set

of perfect matchings P such that $D(P)$ has at least k cycles of length ℓ . We now use a counting argument to show that $\mathcal{P}_C(B)$ is small whenever C is large and B satisfies a very weak pseudorandomness condition. The proof builds on ideas from [12] and later developments in [23] and [20].

Lemma 24. *Let B be an r_B -regular bipartite graph on vertex classes $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $V' = \{v'_1, \dots, v'_n\}$. Let $\log^2 n \leq r_G \leq n$ and $\sqrt{r_G} \log n \leq r_B \leq r_G/2$, and let $C = 2n\sqrt{r_G} \log n / r_B$. Suppose that all $S \subseteq V$ and $T \subseteq V'$ such that $|S|, |T| \geq n/\sqrt{r_G}$ satisfy $e_B(S, T) \leq \frac{5r_G(|S|+|T|)^2}{2n}$. Let $X = (\frac{r_B}{n})^n n!$. Then $|\mathcal{P}_C(B)| < X$.*

A similar result was proved in [12] and later in [20]. In the proof of Lemma 24 we use the following result, which is part of the proof of Lemma 2 in [12] (see inequality (5) there).

Lemma 25 (Frieze and Krivelevich, [12]). *Let B be an r -regular bipartite graph on vertex classes V and V' of size n . Let $m < n$ and let $S \subseteq V$ and $T \subseteq V'$ each have size $n - m$. Let B' be the bipartite subgraph of B induced by S and T , and let Y_m be the number of perfect matchings of B' . Suppose that $e_B(S, T) \geq rn/2$. Then*

$$Y_m \leq \left(\frac{(n - 2m)r + e_B(V \setminus S, V' \setminus T)}{n - m} \right)^{n-m} e^{-n+m} (3n)^{10n/r}.$$

Note that Lemma 25 is stated in [12] with an estimate m^2 for $e_B(V \setminus S, V' \setminus T)$, rather than explicitly including the term $e_B(V \setminus S, V' \setminus T)$. Since the graphs we consider are subgraphs of random graphs we will have $e_B(V \setminus S, V' \setminus T) \ll m^2$. This will allow us to obtain nontrivial bounds on $|\mathcal{P}_C(B)|$ even for very sparse graphs.

Proof of Lemma 24. Let $k = n \log n / r_B$. For each ℓ , let $X_{k,\ell} = |\mathcal{P}_{k,\ell}(B)|$, and let $Y_{k,\ell}$ be the maximum number of perfect matchings between two subsets of the vertex classes of B , each of size $n - k\ell$. Let $\ell_0 = r_B / \sqrt{r_G \log n}$. Since $\ell_0 \geq \sqrt{\log n}$ we may take ℓ_0 to be an integer. Note that

$$(5) \quad k\ell_0 = \frac{n\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{r_G}} = o(n).$$

We first derive a bound on $Y_{k,\ell}$, for $\ell \leq \ell_0$. Consider any $S \subseteq V$ and $T \subseteq V'$, each of size $n - k\ell$. We claim that $e_B(V \setminus S, V' \setminus T) \leq 10r_G k^2 \ell_0^2 / n$. Indeed, let $S' \subseteq V$ and $T' \subseteq V'$ be sets of size $k\ell_0$ such that $V \setminus S \subseteq S'$ and $V' \setminus T \subseteq T'$. Then

$$e_B(V \setminus S, V' \setminus T) \leq e_B(S', T') \leq \frac{5r_G(|S'| + |T'|)^2}{2n} = \frac{10r_G k^2 \ell_0^2}{n}.$$

Moreover, $|S| = |T| = n - o(n)$ by (5) and so $e_B(S, T) \geq r_B n / 2$. Hence by Lemma 25,

$$Y_{k,\ell} \leq \left(\frac{(n - 2k\ell)r_B + 10r_G k^2 \ell_0^2 / n}{n - k\ell} \right)^{n-k\ell} e^{-n+k\ell} (3n)^{10n/r_B}.$$

We can further estimate this as follows: Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(n - 2k\ell)r_B + 10r_G k^2 \ell_0^2 / n}{n - k\ell} &= r_B \left(1 - \frac{k\ell}{n - k\ell} + \frac{10r_G k^2 \ell_0^2}{nr_B(n - k\ell)} \right) \\ &\leq r_B \exp \left[-\frac{k\ell}{n - k\ell} + \frac{10r_G k^2 \ell_0^2}{nr_B(n - k\ell)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_{k,\ell} &\leq r_B^{n-k\ell} e^{-k\ell} \exp \left[\frac{10r_G k^2 \ell_0^2}{nr_B} \right] e^{-n+k\ell} (3n)^{10n/r_B} \\ &= r_B^{n-k\ell} e^{10k} e^{-n} (3n)^{10n/r_B}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from that fact that

$$\frac{r_G k \ell_0^2}{nr_B} \stackrel{(5)}{=} \frac{r_G}{nr_B} \cdot \frac{n\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{r_G}} \cdot \frac{r_B}{\sqrt{r_G \log n}} = 1.$$

Now we proceed to bound $X_{k,\ell}$. Note that if M_1 and M_2 are matchings such that $D(M_1)$ and $D(M_2)$ are cycles, then M_1 and M_2 are vertex-disjoint if and only if $D(M_1)$ and $D(M_2)$ are vertex-disjoint. We claim that there are at most $\binom{n}{k} r_B^{k(\ell-1)} \ell^{-k}$ ways of choosing k vertex-disjoint matchings M of size ℓ in B , such that $D(M)$ is a cycle of length ℓ for each M . Indeed, we can construct these matchings as follows: First choose an initial vertex in V for each matching ($\binom{n}{k}$ choices). For an initial vertex v_{i_1} of M , select an unused neighbour $v'_{i_2} \in V'$ of v_{i_1} (at most r_B choices) and add $v_{i_1} v'_{i_2}$ to M . Then select an unused neighbour v'_{i_3} of v_{i_2} (recall that v_{i_2} is the vertex of V corresponding to v'_{i_2}), etc., until we have selected v'_{i_ℓ} . Now if v'_{i_1} is a neighbour of v_{i_ℓ} then add $v_{i_\ell} v'_{i_1}$ to M and note that $D(M)$ is a cycle of length ℓ . Repeat this process for each initial vertex, in each case using only vertices we have not used before. This process described above constructs every collection of k vertex-disjoint matchings of size ℓ at least ℓ^k times, since we choose the initial vertex of each matching arbitrarily. The claim follows immediately.

Since $Y_{k,\ell}$ bounds the number of perfect matchings on the remaining vertices (i.e., those which are not contained in the above matchings), we have

$$X_{k,\ell} \leq \binom{n}{k} r_B^{k(\ell-1)} \ell^{-k} Y_{k,\ell}.$$

Estimating $\binom{n}{k} \leq \left(\frac{ne}{k}\right)^k$ and $n! \geq \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{k,\ell} X^{-1} &\stackrel{(6)}{\leq} \left(\frac{ne}{k}\right)^k r_B^{k(\ell-1)} \ell^{-k} r_B^{n-k\ell} e^{10k} e^{-n} (3n)^{10n/r_B} \left(\frac{n}{r_B}\right)^n \left(\frac{e}{n}\right)^n \\ &= \left(\frac{ne^{11}}{k}\right)^k r_B^{k\ell-k} \ell^{-k} r_B^{n-k\ell} (3n)^{10n/r_B} r_B^{-n} = \left(\frac{ne^{11}}{k\ell r_B}\right)^k (3n)^{10n/r_B} \\ &= \left(\frac{e^{11}}{\ell \log n}\right)^k (3n)^{10n/r_B}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$X^{-1} \sum_{\ell=3}^{\ell_0} X_{k,\ell} \leq \left(\left(\frac{e^{11}}{\log n} \right)^{\log n/10} 3n \right)^{10n/r_B} \sum_{\ell=3}^{\ell_0} \ell^{-k}.$$

But $((e^{11}/\log n)^{\log n/10} 3n)^{10n/r_B} < 2^{-10n/r_B} < 1$ and $\ell^{-k} \leq 3^{-\log n} < 1/n$ for $\ell \geq 3$. Hence

$$X^{-1} \sum_{\ell=3}^{\ell_0} X_{k,\ell} < \sum_{\ell=3}^{\ell_0} 1/n < \ell_0/n < 1.$$

Note that since $k\ell_0 \leq C/2$ and $n/\ell_0 = C/2$, we have $C \geq k\ell_0 + n/\ell_0$. But any 1-regular digraph which, for all $\ell \leq \ell_0$, contains fewer than k cycles of length ℓ has fewer than $k\ell_0 + n/\ell_0$ cycles in total. Hence $|\mathcal{P}_C(B)| \leq \sum_{\ell=3}^{\ell_0} X_{k,\ell} < X$. $\square$

The following lemma and corollary accomplish our aim of decomposing an even-regular graph H which is close to being pseudorandom into 2-factors with few cycles in total.

Lemma 26. *Let $r_G \geq \log^2 n$, and let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom graph on n vertices. Let $r_H \geq 2\sqrt{r_G} \log n$ be even and let H be an r_H -regular spanning subgraph of G . Then H contains a 2-factor with at most $C = 4n\sqrt{r_G} \log n / r_H$ cycles.*

Proof. Recall that Petersen's theorem [28] states that every even-regular graph can be decomposed into 2-factors. Therefore H can be decomposed into a collection $F_1, \dots, F_{r_B}$ of 2-factors, where $r_B = r_H/2$. For each of these 2-factors we orient the edges so that each cycle is an oriented cycle, thus forming a collection of 1-regular digraphs on $V(H)$. Now taking the union of these digraphs yields an orientation D of H which is r_B -regular.

Label the vertices of $V(H)$ as $v_1, \dots, v_n$. Form a bipartite graph B on vertex classes $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $V' = \{v'_1, \dots, v'_n\}$ by joining $v_i \in V$ to $v'_j \in V'$ if and only if there is an edge of D from v_i to v_j . Now for any sets $S \subseteq V$ and $T \subseteq V'$ with $|S|, |T| \geq n/\sqrt{r_G}$, let S', T' be the corresponding subsets of $V(H)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e_B(S, T) &\leq e_H(S' \cup T') \leq e_G(S' \cup T') \leq \frac{r_G(|S| + |T|)^2}{2n} + 2\sqrt{r_G}(|S| + |T|) \\ &\leq \frac{3r_G(|S| + |T|)^2}{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality follows since G is $(r_G/n, 2\sqrt{r_G})$ -jumbled by Definition 7(a), and the fourth since $2 \leq \sqrt{r_G}(|S| + |T|)/n$. Now Lemma 24 implies that $|\mathcal{P}_C(B)| < \left(\frac{r_B}{n}\right)^n n!$. But by Theorem 23 the total number of perfect matchings of B is at least $\left(\frac{r_B}{n}\right)^n n!$. So there exists a perfect matching P of B such that $D(P)$ has at most C cycles. Now ignoring the orientation of $D(P)$ yields the desired 2-factor of H . $\square$

For a 2-regular graph F , let $c(F)$ be the number of cycles of F , and for a collection $\mathcal{F}$ of 2-factors let $c(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} c(F)$. Repeated application of Lemma 26 gives us the following result.

Corollary 27. *Let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom graph on n vertices with $r_G \geq \log^2 n$. Let H be an r_H -regular spanning subgraph of G , such that r_H is even. Then H can be decomposed into a collection of 2-factors $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_{r_H/2}\}$, such that $c(\mathcal{F}) \leq 3n\sqrt{r_G} \log^3 n$.*

Proof. If $r_H \leq 8\sqrt{r_G} \log n$ then the result follows from Petersen's theorem [28], noting that trivially $c(F_i) \leq n/3$ for each i . So suppose $r_H \geq 8\sqrt{r_G} \log n$. Let $i_0 = r_H/2 - \sqrt{r_G} \log n$ and note that since $i_0 \geq r_H/4 \geq \log^2 n$, we may assume that i_0 is an integer. By repeatedly applying Lemma 26 we have

$$\begin{aligned} c(\mathcal{F}) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \frac{2n\sqrt{r_G} \log n}{r_H/2 - i + 1} + \sum_{i=i_0+1}^{r_H/2} n/3 \leq 2n\sqrt{r_G} \log n \sum_{i=\sqrt{r_G} \log n}^{r_H/2} \frac{1}{i} + n\sqrt{r_G} \log n \\ &\leq 2n\sqrt{r_G} \log n (\log(r_H/2) + \sqrt{\log n}) \leq 3n\sqrt{r_G} \log^3 n. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Now we consider an arbitrary even-regular graph H' and aim to show that if we take an even-regular graph H on $V(H')$ which is close to being pseudorandom and edge-disjoint from H' , then we can decompose $H \cup H'$ into 2-factors with a similar bound on the total number of cycles as in Corollary 27. Our strategy will be to first split H' up into matchings. We then extend each of these matchings into two perfect matchings using edges of H (see Lemma 28), and apply Lemma 24 to transform each of these perfect matchings into a 2-factor with few cycles (see Lemma 29).

We start by considering the case of a single matching. If n is odd, then call a graph F on n vertices a *pseudomatching* if it has a unique vertex of degree 2 and all other vertices are of degree 1. A perfect pseudomatching in a graph G is a pseudomatching covering every vertex of G .

Lemma 28. *Let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom graph on n vertices with $r_G \geq \log^2 n$ and let H be a spanning subgraph of G such that $\delta(H) \geq \frac{549}{550}r_G + 5$. Let M be a matching with $V(M) \subseteq V(G)$ and suppose M and H are edge-disjoint. Then*

- (i) *if n is even, then there exists a partition of M into submatchings M_1, M_2 , such that each of M_1, M_2 can be completed to a perfect matching on $V(G)$ using disjoint sets of edges of H , and*
- (ii) *if n is odd, then there exists a partition of M into submatchings M_1, M_2 , such that each of M_1, M_2 can be completed to a perfect pseudomatching on $V(G)$ using disjoint sets of edges of H .*

It would be more convenient to extend M directly into a perfect matching rather than splitting it first, but this does not seem to be possible.

Proof. Let $r_H = \frac{549}{550}r_G$. We partition M randomly by placing each edge into either M_1 or M_2 , with equal probability. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let Y_v be the set of edges ww' of M such that both w and w' lie in $N_H(v)$, and Z_v the set such that exactly one of w and w' lies in $N_H(v)$. Note that $2|Y_v| + |Z_v| \leq d_H(v) \leq \Delta(G) \leq 2r_H$, and that

$$|N_H(v) \setminus V(M_1)| \sim 2\text{Bin}(|Y_v|, 1/2) + \text{Bin}(|Z_v|, 1/2) + |N_H(v) \setminus V(M)|.$$

(Here we use that M and H are edge-disjoint and so the unique neighbour of v in M is not a neighbour of v in H .)

Suppose $|Y_v| \geq 8 \log n$. Let $\varepsilon = 4\sqrt{\log n / |Y_v|} \leq 3/2$ and note that $2\sqrt{r_H \log n} \geq \varepsilon|Y_v|/2$, since $r_H \geq |Y_v|$. By Lemma 8(ii) we have that

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\text{Bin}(|Y_v|, 1/2) \leq |Y_v|/2 - 2\sqrt{r_H \log n} \right] < 2e^{-\varepsilon^2|Y_v|/6} \leq 2e^{-16 \log n / 6} < \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

On the other hand, if $|Y_v| \leq 8 \log n$ then $|Y_v|/2 \leq 2\sqrt{r_H \log n}$ and so

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\text{Bin}(|Y_v|, 1/2) \leq |Y_v|/2 - 2\sqrt{r_H \log n} \right] = 0.$$

By the same argument we can show that

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\text{Bin}(|Z_v|, 1/2) \leq |Z_v|/2 - 4\sqrt{r_H \log n} \right] < \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

So whp, every $v \in V(G)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |N_H(v) \setminus V(M_1)| &\geq |Y_v| + |Z_v|/2 - 8\sqrt{r_H \log n} + |N_H(v) \setminus V(M)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}|N_H(v) \cap V(M)| + |N_H(v) \setminus V(M)| - 8\sqrt{r_H \log n} \geq r_H/3 + 7, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\delta(H - V(M_1)) \geq r_H/3 + 7$. By a similar argument the same holds for M_2 . Now choose a partition of M into M_1 and M_2 such that the above bounds on $|N_H(v) \setminus V(M_1)|$ and $|N_H(v) \setminus V(M_2)|$ hold for all vertices $v \in V(G)$.

If n is odd, then we first find a path $v_1v_2v_3$ of length 2 in $H - V(M_1)$ and add it to M_1 to form a pseudomatching, so that $V(H) \setminus V(M_1)$ has an even number of vertices. We also remove v_1v_2 and v_2v_3 from H . (If n is even then we simply omit this step.) Henceforth we proceed identically in cases (i) and (ii).

Let $W = V(H) \setminus V(M_1)$ and note that we still have $\delta(H) \geq r_H + 3$ and $\delta(H[W]) \geq r_H/3 + 4$. We now use Theorem 19 to show that $H[W]$ contains a perfect matching. For this it suffices to prove that for any set $S \subseteq W$, the number of components of $H[W \setminus S]$ which have an odd number of vertices is at most $|S|$. If S is nonempty then this follows from Lemma 20. On the other hand if $S = \emptyset$ then by Lemma 20 $H[W \setminus S] = H[W]$ has exactly one component, namely W . But this component has an even number of vertices, and so by Theorem 19 $H[W]$ contains a perfect matching M'_1 . So $M_1 \cup M'_1$ is a perfect matching or pseudomatching on $V(G)$. We delete the edges in M'_1 from H .

We now repeat the process for M_2 . First we extend M_2 to a pseudomatching (if n is odd). Now we still have $\delta(H) \geq r_H$ and $\delta(H - V(M_2)) \geq r_H/3$, so the conditions of Lemma 20 still hold and hence we can complete M_2 to a perfect matching or pseudomatching on $V(G)$. $\square$

Lemma 29. *Let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom graph on n vertices with $r_G \geq 2 \log^2 n$, and let H be a spanning subgraph of G such that $\delta(H) \geq \frac{29}{30}r_G$. Let P be a perfect matching (if n is even) or a perfect pseudomatching (if n is odd) on $V(G)$, edge-disjoint from H . Then H contains a matching P' such that $P \cup P'$ forms a 2-regular graph with at most $\frac{3n\sqrt{r_G \log n}}{\delta(H)}$ cycles.*

We will apply Lemma 29 in the proof of Lemma 30 with P being one of the perfect matchings M_i obtained from Lemma 28.

Proof. Let $r_H = \delta(H)$ and note that $r_H \geq \log^2 n$ by Definition 7(c). If n is odd, then let v_1 be the vertex of P of degree 2 and v_2, v_3 its neighbours. For the remainder of the proof we treat v_2v_3 as if it were an edge of P , and v_1v_2, v_1v_3 as if they were not edges of P . If v_2v_3 is also an edge of H , then we delete it from H (so that P and H remain edge-disjoint). The proof then proceeds identically whether n is odd or even.

Label the edges of P as $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$. For each edge e_i , label one of the vertices a_i (chosen at random and independently from the other choices) and the other b_i . Let $B_1 = \{a_1, \dots, a_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}\}$ and $B_2 = \{b_1, \dots, b_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}\}$, and let B be the bipartite graph on vertex classes B_1, B_2 with edges $E_H(B_1, B_2)$.

Now for each $v \in V(B)$, let Y_v be the set of edges $ab \in P$ such that both a and b lie in $N_H(v)$, and let Z_v be the set of edges $ab \in P$ such that exactly one of a and b lies in $N_H(v)$. Note that

$$d_H(v) - 1 \leq 2|Y_v| + |Z_v| \leq d_H(v) \leq \Delta(H) \leq \Delta(G) \leq r_G + 2\sqrt{r_G \log n} \leq \frac{11r_H}{10},$$

where the second-last inequality follows from Definition 7(c). Now $d_B(v) \sim |Y_v| + \text{Bin}(|Z_v|, 1/2)$. (Here we use the condition that P and H are edge-disjoint and so the unique neighbour of v in P is not a neighbour of v in H .)

Suppose $|Z_v| \geq 8 \log n$. Let $\varepsilon = 4\sqrt{\log n / |Z_v|} \leq 3/2$ and note that $4\sqrt{r_H \log n} \geq \varepsilon|Z_v|/2$. By Lemma 8(ii) we have that

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\text{Bin}(|Z_v|, 1/2) \leq |Z_v|/2 - 4\sqrt{r_H \log n} \right] < e^{-\varepsilon^2|Z_v|/6} \leq 2e^{-16 \log n/6} < \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

On the other hand if $|Z_v| \leq 8 \log n$ then $|Z_v|/2 \leq 4\sqrt{r_H \log n}$ and so

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\text{Bin}(|Z_v|, 1/2) \leq |Z_v|/2 - 4\sqrt{r_H \log n} \right] = 0.$$

So whp every $v \in V(B)$ satisfies $d_B(v) \geq |Y_v| + |Z_v|/2 - 4\sqrt{r_H \log n} \geq r_H/2 - 5\sqrt{r_H \log n}$. Similarly, whp every $v \in V(B)$ satisfies $d_B(v) \leq 11r_H/20 + 5\sqrt{r_H \log n}$. Now we choose B such that $\delta(B) \geq r_H/2 - 5\sqrt{r_H \log n}$ and $\Delta(B) \leq 11r_H/20 + 5\sqrt{r_H \log n}$. Let $r_B = 2r_H/5$ and note that $r_B \geq r_G/3$.

Claim: B contains a regular spanning subgraph B' of degree r_B .

To prove the claim, we use the Max-flow Min-cut theorem. Let each edge of B have capacity 1. Add a source σ joined to each vertex of B_1 by an edge of capacity r_B and a sink τ joined to each vertex of B_2 by an edge of capacity r_B . Let $n' = |B_1| = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Now we show that the minimum cut must have capacity at least $n'r_B$ (indeed exactly $n'r_B$, since one could cut all of the edges incident to σ). It follows that the maximum flow from σ to τ is $n'r_B$. Further there is some flow which achieves this maximum and such that the flow along each edge is an integer. Taking the edges of B which have flow 1 immediately yields the desired r_B -regular spanning subgraph.

So consider a cut $\mathcal{C}$. Let T be the set of vertices $v \in B_1$ such that σv is not part of $\mathcal{C}$. Similarly let S be the set of vertices $v \in B_2$ such that $v\tau$ is not part of $\mathcal{C}$. Now since every edge in $E_B(T, S)$ must be part of $\mathcal{C}$, the capacity of $\mathcal{C}$ is at least $e_B(T, S) + r_B(2n' - |S| - |T|)$. So noting that $e_B(T, S) = e_H(T, S)$, it suffices to prove that

$$e_H(T, S) \geq n'r_B - r_B(2n' - |S| - |T|) = r_B(|S| + |T| - n').$$

Let $S' = B_2 \setminus S$. Then an equivalent statement is that

$$e_H(T, B_2) - e_H(T, S') + r_B(|S'| - |T|) \geq 0.$$

We can assume without loss of generality that $|T| + |S'| \leq n' \leq n/2$; otherwise we can rearrange the inequality as $e_H(B_1, S) - e_H(T', S) + r_B(|T'| - |S|) \geq 0$ where $T' = B_1 \setminus T$ and the proof proceeds analogously. We now consider the following cases:

Case 1: $|T| \geq 2|S'|$. We can estimate $e_H(T, S') \leq |S'|\Delta(B)$ and $e_H(T, B_2) \geq |T|\delta(B)$. Now

$$e_H(T, B_2) - e_H(T, S') + r_B(|S'| - |T|) \geq |T|(\delta(B) - r_B) - |S'|(\Delta(B) - r_B),$$

and the right-hand side is positive since $\delta(B) - r_B \geq r_H/10 - 5\sqrt{r_H \log n} > r_H/12$ and $\Delta(B) - r_B \leq 3r_H/20 + 5\sqrt{r_H \log n} < r_H/6$.

Case 2: $|S'| \geq |T|$. Then the inequality holds trivially.

Case 3: $|S'| \leq |T| \leq 2|S'|$. Note that $|T| \leq n/3$ (otherwise $|T| + |S'| > n/2$). So since G is $(r_G/n, 2\sqrt{r_G})$ -jumbled by Definition 7(c), (1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} r_B|S'| - e_H(T, S') &\geq r_B|S'| - r_G|T||S'|/n - 4\sqrt{r_G}(|T| + |S'|) \\ &\geq |S'|(r_B - r_G|T|/n - 12\sqrt{r_G}) \geq |S'|(r_G/3 - r_G/3 - 12\sqrt{r_G}) \\ &= -12|S'|\sqrt{r_G} \geq -12|T|\sqrt{r_G}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $e_H(T, B_2) - e_H(T, S') + r_B(|S'| - |T|) \geq |T|\delta(B) - r_B|T| - 12|T|\sqrt{r_G} \geq 0$, which completes the proof of our claim.

Now Theorem 23 implies that the number of perfect matchings of B' is at least $X = (\frac{r_B}{n})^{n'}(n')!$. Note that for any $S \subseteq B_1$ and $T \subseteq B_2$ with $|S|, |T| \geq n'/\sqrt{r_G}$,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{B'}(S, T) &\leq e_G(S, T) \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} r_G|S||T|/n + 4\sqrt{r_G}(|S| + |T|) \\ &\leq r_G|S||T|/n' + 2r_G(|S| + |T|)^2/n' \\ &\leq 5r_G(|S| + |T|)^2/2n', \end{aligned}$$

where in the third inequality we use that $2 \leq \sqrt{r_G}(|S| + |T|)/n'$ and in the last inequality we use that $2|S||T| \leq (|S| + |T|)^2$. Set $C = 2n'\sqrt{r_G \log n'}/r_B$. Now applying Lemma 24 with $V = B_1$, $V' = B_2$, and $v_i = a_i$ and $v'_i = b_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n'$ implies that $|\mathcal{P}_C(B')| < X$. So there exists a perfect matching P' in B' such that $D(P')$ has at most C cycles. (Recall that $D(P')$ was defined in the paragraph before Lemma 24.) But we have a one-to-one correspondence between cycles $i_1 i_2 \dots i_\ell i_1$ of $D(P')$ and cycles $b_{i_1} a_{i_1} b_{i_2} a_{i_2} \dots b_{i_\ell} a_{i_\ell} b_{i_1}$ of $P \cup P'$. Hence $P \cup P'$ has at most C cycles. Now note that $C \leq 3n\sqrt{r_G \log n}/r_H$. Finally, if n is odd then on the cycle of $P \cup P'$ containing the edge $v_2 v_3$, we replace $v_2 v_3$ by the path $v_2 v_1 v_3$, so that $P \cup P'$ is 2-regular. $\square$

We now combine Lemmas 28 and 29 to show that under suitable conditions, the union of an arbitrary even-regular graph H' and a graph H which is close to being pseudorandom contains a collection of edge-disjoint 2-factors which together cover the edges of H' .

Lemma 30. *Let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom graph on n vertices with $r_G \geq 2 \log^2 n$, and let H be a spanning subgraph of G such that $\delta(H) \geq (1 - 1/1100)r_G$. Let H' be an arbitrary $r_{H'}$ -regular graph on the same vertex set, and let $E_{\text{bad}} \subseteq E(H')$. Suppose that H' is edge-disjoint from H and that $r_{H'} + 1 + 10^6|E_{\text{bad}}|/n \leq r_G/5000$. Then there exists $m \leq r_G/5000$ and a collection $F_1, \dots, F_{2m}$ of edge-disjoint 2-factors in $H \cup H'$ whose union covers all of the edges of H' , such that each F_i has at most $4n\sqrt{\log n}/\sqrt{r_G}$ cycles and each set $E(F_i) \cap E_{\text{bad}}$ is a matching of size at most $n/10^6$.*

We will apply Lemma 30 with E_{bad} being a set of ‘bad’ edges which we will want to avoid when merging the cycles of each F_i into a Hamilton cycle. The purpose of the restrictions on $F_i \cap E_{\text{bad}}$ is to spread the edges in E_{bad} out among the F_i ’s and thus make them easier to avoid.

Proof. Let $r_H = (1 - 1/550)r_G + 5$. By Vizing’s theorem, we can decompose $E(H')$ into edge-disjoint matchings $M'_1, M'_2, \dots, M'_{r_{H'}+1}$. For each i , split M'_i into $\lfloor 10^6|E(M'_i) \cap E_{\text{bad}}|/n + 1 \rfloor$ matchings M_j such that $|E(M_j) \cap E_{\text{bad}}| \leq n/10^6$. Let $M_1, \dots, M_m$ be the resulting collection of matchings and note that $m \leq r_{H'} + 1 + 10^6|E_{\text{bad}}|/n \leq r_G/5000$. Now for each matching M_j , we will find a pair of edge-disjoint 2-factors in $H \cup M_j$, each with at most $4n\sqrt{\log n}/\sqrt{r_G}$ cycles, which together cover the edges of M_j . Thus the total number of 2-factors will be $2m$.

Firstly observe that for any $v \in V(H)$, no more than $4m - r_{H'} \leq r_G/1200$ edges of H incident to v will be used during this process to construct our 2-factors (as each 2-factor uses up at most 2 edges of H incident to v). Hence after deleting all the edges of H lying in the 2-factors found so far, we still have $\delta(H) \geq (1 - 1/1100 - 1/1200)r_G \geq r_H$. For each M_j , we use Lemma 28 to decompose M_j into two matchings and complete each one to a perfect matching or pseudomatching using edges of H . Now by Lemma 29 we can complete each of these perfect matchings or pseudomatchings to a 2-factor using edges of H , such that each 2-factor produced has at most $3n\sqrt{r_G \log n}/r_H \leq 4n\sqrt{\log n}/\sqrt{r_G}$ cycles. $\square$

Finally we combine Corollary 27 and Lemma 30 to fully decompose $H \cup H'$ into 2-factors with few cycles in total.

Corollary 31. *Let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom graph with $r_G \geq 2 \log^2 n$ and let H be an even-regular spanning subgraph of G of degree r_H , with $\delta(G) - 1 \leq r_H \leq \delta(G)$. Let H' be an arbitrary even-regular graph of degree $r_{H'}$ on the same vertex set and let $E_{\text{bad}} \subseteq E(H')$. Suppose that H' is edge-disjoint from H and that $r_{H'} + 1 + 10^6|E_{\text{bad}}|/n \leq r_G/5000$. Let $m = (r_H + r_{H'})/2$. Then there exists a decomposition $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$*

of $H \cup H'$ into 2-factors such that $c(\mathcal{F}) \leq 4n\sqrt{r_G \log^3 n}$ and $E(F_i) \cap E_{bad}$ is a matching of size at most $n/10^6$ for each i .

Proof. Apply Lemma 30 to construct a collection $\mathcal{F}'$ of at most $r_G/2500$ edge-disjoint 2-factors in $H \cup H'$, such that $\bigcup \mathcal{F}'$ covers H' ,

$$c(\mathcal{F}') \leq \frac{4n\sqrt{\log nr_G}}{2500\sqrt{r_G}} \leq n\sqrt{r_G \log n},$$

and the set $E(F) \cap E_{bad}$ is a matching of size at most $n/10^6$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}'$. Let $H'' = (H \cup H') \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{F}'$ and note that H'' is an even-regular spanning subgraph of G . So by Corollary 27 with $H = H''$ we can decompose H'' into a collection $\mathcal{F}''$ of 2-factors such that $c(\mathcal{F}'') \leq 3n\sqrt{r_G \log^3 n}$. Taking $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}' \cup \mathcal{F}''$, we have $c(\mathcal{F}) \leq 4n\sqrt{r_G \log^3 n}$. $\square$

7. MERGING CYCLES

So far we have the necessary tools to find large collections of disjoint 2-factors in a pseudorandom graph G , by first finding a regular spanning subgraph and then decomposing this subgraph into a collection $\mathcal{F}$ of 2-factors. The aim of this section is to show that we can transform these 2-factors into Hamilton cycles, by ‘merging’ the cycles of each 2-factor together using edges which are taken from a pseudorandom graph G' . The crucial observation here is that G' need not be as dense as G originally was; in fact, under certain conditions G' may be taken to be significantly sparser. To establish this we make use of the bounds on $c(\mathcal{F})$ proved in Section 6.

Recall that $N(S)$ denotes the external neighbourhood of S , i.e. $N(S) = \bigcup_{s \in S} N(s) \setminus S$. All paths P are considered to have a ‘direction’ in the sense that the first and last endpoints are distinguished (so if $P = v_1 \dots v_\ell$ and $P' = v_\ell \dots v_1$ then we view P and P' as different paths). If $P = x \dots y$ then we call x the *first endpoint* and y the *last endpoint* of P . We define the *reverse* of a path $P = x \dots y$ to be the path $P' = y \dots x$ which has the same vertices and edges as P .

We will use the rotation-extension method. For this we need the following definitions.

Definition 32. Let $P = v_1 v_2 \dots v_\ell$ be a path. A rotation of P with pivot v_i is the operation of deleting the edge $v_{i-1} v_i$ from P and adding the edge $v_i v_1$ to form a new path $v_{i-1} v_{i-2} \dots v_1 v_i v_{i+1} \dots v_\ell$ with endpoints v_{i-1} and v_ℓ . Call $v_{i-1} v_i$ the broken edge of the rotation, and $v_i v_1$ the new edge.

Definition 33. Let P be a path and H a graph with $V(P) \subseteq V(H)$, such that P and H are edge-disjoint. Let $Q \subseteq V(H)$ and let $\tau \geq 1$ be an integer. Then a vertex v of P is (H, Q, τ) -reachable if there exists a sequence of at most τ rotations which make v into the first endpoint of P , with all of the new edges being edges of H and all of the pivots being elements of Q .

The main reason we include the set Q in this definition is that there will be certain edges of P that we do not want to break. We achieve this by ensuring that none of the endpoints of these edges lie in Q . Let

$$(7) \quad \tau_0 = \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} + 3.$$

In what follows, the sequences of rotations we consider will generally have length at most τ_0 .

Definition 34. Let $P = v_1v_2 \dots v_\ell$ be a path, and let $C = w_1w_2 \dots w_mw_1$ be a cycle which is vertex-disjoint from P . An extension of P to incorporate C , with join vertex w_i and broken edge $w_{i-1}w_i$ is the operation of deleting the edge $w_{i-1}w_i$ from C and adding the edge v_1w_i to form a new path $w_{i-1}w_{i-2} \dots w_{i+1}w_iv_1 \dots v_{\ell-1}v_\ell$. Call v_1w_i the new edge of the extension.

Given a 2-regular graph F and an edge-disjoint graph H on the same vertex set, we say that a Hamilton cycle C is formed by *merging the cycles of F using edges of H* if $E(C) \subseteq E(F) \cup E(H)$. Our strategy will be to first merge two of the cycles of F together to form a long path P . We then show that if $Q \subseteq V(H)$ is ‘large’, the set of (H, Q, τ_0) -reachable vertices of P must in general be large (see Corollary 40). This will allow us to either extend the path by incorporating another cycle, or close P to a cycle, thus reducing the number of cycles in F . Repeating this process will eventually produce a Hamilton cycle.

The following simple and well known lemma (see e.g., [20, Lemma 23], [22, Proposition 3.1] for similar versions) will give us many reachable vertices provided that H ‘expands’, i.e., that $N_H(S) \cap Q$ is large (compared to S) for any $S \subseteq Q$ which is not itself too large. We include a proof here for completeness.

Lemma 35. Let H be a graph on n vertices, and let $P = x \dots y$ be a path on a subset of $V(H)$ which is edge-disjoint from H . Let $Q \subseteq V(P)$, and let U_τ be the set of (H, Q, τ) -reachable vertices of P . Then $|U_{\tau+1}| \geq \frac{1}{2}|N_H(U_\tau) \cap Q| - |U_\tau|$.

Proof. For a vertex $v \in P$, let v^- and v^+ be the predecessor and successor, respectively, of v along P . Let $T = \{v \in N_H(U_\tau) \cap Q \mid v^-, v^+ \notin U_\tau\}$. If $v \in T$, then since neither v nor either of its neighbours on P are in U_τ , the neighbours of v are preserved by every sequence of at most τ rotations of P with pivots in Q ; i.e., v^+ and v^- are adjacent to v along any path obtained from P by at most τ rotations with pivots in Q . It follows that one of v^- and v^+ must be in $U_{\tau+1}$. Indeed, starting from P , we can obtain by performing at most τ rotations a path with endpoints z and y , such that $z \in U_\tau$ and zv is an edge of H . Now by one further rotation with pivot v and broken edge either vv^+ or vv^- , we obtain a path whose endpoints are either v^+, y or v^-, y .

Now let $T^+ = \{v^+ \mid v \in T, v^+ \in U_{\tau+1}\}$ and $T^- = \{v^- \mid v \in T, v^- \in U_{\tau+1}\}$. It follows from the above that either $|T^+| \geq |T|/2$ or $|T^-| \geq |T|/2$, and both T^+ and T^- are subsets of $U_{\tau+1}$. Hence $|U_{\tau+1}| \geq |T|/2 \geq (|N_H(U_\tau) \cap Q| - 2|U_\tau|)/2$. $\square$

The fact that we have the required expansion property will follow from Lemma 38. Lemma 38 relies on Corollary 37, which in turn relies on Lemma 36. Lemma 36 is a simple consequence of pseudorandomness.

Lemma 36. Let G be a p -pseudorandom graph on n vertices, and let $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ be disjoint with $s = |S|$ and $t = |T|$. Let

$$g(s, t) = \begin{cases} 2(s+t) \log n & \text{if } \frac{\log n}{sp} \geq \frac{7}{2}; \\ 7s(s+t)p & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$h(s) = \begin{cases} 2s \log n & \text{if } \frac{\log n}{sp} \geq \frac{7}{2}; \\ 7s^2p & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $e_G(S, T) \leq g(s, t)$ and $e_G(S) \leq h(s)$.

Proof. Suppose first that $\frac{\log n}{sp} \geq \frac{7}{2}$. Then Definition 7(b)(i) implies that $e_G(S, T) \leq 2(s+t) \log n = g(s, t)$. If $\frac{\log n}{sp} \leq \frac{7}{2}$ and $(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t}) \frac{\log n}{p} \geq \frac{7}{2}$ then Definition 7(b)(i) implies

that $e_G(S, T) \leq 2(s+t) \log n \leq 7s(s+t)p = g(s, t)$. Finally if $(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t}) \frac{\log n}{p} \leq \frac{7}{2}$ then Definition 7(b)(ii) implies that $e_G(S, T) \leq 7stp \leq 7s(s+t)p = g(s, t)$.

For the second part, if $\frac{7}{4} \leq \frac{\log n}{sp} \leq \frac{7}{2}$, then by Definition 7(b)(iii) we have $e_G(S) \leq 2s \log n \leq 7s^2p = h(s)$, and otherwise the result follows immediately from Definition 7(b)(iii) and (iv). $\square$

Corollary 37. *Let G be a p -pseudorandom graph on n vertices. Let $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| = s$, and for each vertex $x \in S$, let $A_x \subseteq N_G(x)$. Let $T = \bigcup_{x \in S} A_x \setminus S$, and $t = |T|$. Then the following properties hold:*

- (i) *If $s \leq \frac{2 \log n}{7p}$ and $\sum_{x \in S} |A_x| \geq 12s \log n$, then $t \geq \frac{\sum_{x \in S} |A_x|}{4 \log n}$.*
- (ii) *If $s \geq \frac{2 \log n}{7p}$, then $t + 3s \geq \frac{\sum_{x \in S} |A_x|}{7sp}$.*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 36 we have

$$\sum_{x \in S} |A_x| \leq e_G(S, T) + 2e_G(S) \leq g(s, t) + 2h(s) = 2(s+t) \log n + 4s \log n.$$

Hence $t \geq (\sum_{x \in S} |A_x| - 6s \log n) / 2 \log n \geq \sum_{x \in S} |A_x| / 4 \log n$.

(ii) We have

$$\sum_{x \in S} |A_x| \leq e_G(S, T) + 2e_G(S) \leq g(s, t) + 2h(s) = 7sp(s+t) + 14s^2p$$

and the result follows immediately. $\square$

Lemma 38. *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ be a constant. Let G' be an $r_{G'}/n$ -pseudorandom graph on n vertices and let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom spanning subgraph of G' , with $r_G \leq r_{G'}$ and $\varepsilon r_G \geq 16 \log^2 n$. Let H be a spanning subgraph of G such that*

$$(8) \quad |E(G) \setminus E(H)| \leq 2n \sqrt{r_G \log n}.$$

Let H' be a spanning subgraph of G' , such that

$$(9) \quad |E(H) \setminus E(H')| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2 n r_G^2}{10^4 r_{G'}} \text{ and } |E(H') \setminus E(H)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2 n r_G^2}{10^4 r_{G'}}.$$

Let $Q', S \subseteq V(G)$ and suppose that $|N_{H'}(x) \cap Q'| \geq \varepsilon r_G$ for every vertex $x \in S$. Then at least one of the following holds:

- (i) $\frac{1}{2}|N_{H'}(S) \cap Q'| - |S| \geq |S| \log n$,
- (ii) $|S| \leq \frac{\varepsilon n r_G}{50 r_{G'}}$ and $\frac{1}{2}|N_{H'}(S) \cap Q'| - |S| \geq \frac{\varepsilon n r_G}{49 r_{G'}}$,
- (iii) $|S| \leq \varepsilon n / 90$ and $\frac{1}{2}|N_{H'}(S) \cap Q'| - |S| \geq \varepsilon n / 45$,
- (iv) $|S| > |Q'| / 6$,
- (v) $\frac{1}{2}|N_{H'}(S) \cap Q'| - |S| \geq |Q'| / 6$.

Note that if r_G is much smaller than $r_{G'}$ then (9) is more restrictive than simply requiring the symmetric difference of $E(H)$ and $E(H')$ to be $o(e(H))$. We need this more restrictive bound in Cases 3 and 4 below to obtain good expansion for small sets. (See also the remark after Corollary 44.)

Proof. Let $T = N_{H'}(S) \cap Q'$, $s = |S|$ and $t = |T|$. For each vertex $x \in V(G)$, let $B_x = N_{H'}(x) \cap Q'$ and note that

$$(10) \quad \sum_{x \in S} |B_x| \geq \varepsilon r_G s \geq 16s \log^2 n.$$

We consider the following cases:

Case 1: $s \leq \frac{2n \log n}{7r_{G'}}$. In this case we apply Corollary 37(i) with $G = G'$ and $A_x = B_x$ to obtain $t \geq \frac{\sum_{x \in S} |A_x|}{4 \log n} \geq \frac{16s \log^2 n}{4 \log n} = 4s \log n$. Hence (i) holds.

Case 2: $\frac{2n \log n}{7r_{G'}} \leq s \leq \frac{\varepsilon n r_G}{50r_{G'}}$. Apply Corollary 37(ii) with $G = G'$ and $A_x = B_x$ to obtain

$$t + 3s \geq \frac{n \sum_{x \in S} |A_x|}{7sr_{G'}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon n r_G}{7r_{G'}}.$$

Hence $t \geq \frac{4\varepsilon n r_G}{49r_{G'}}$ and so $\frac{1}{2}t - s \geq \frac{\varepsilon n r_G}{49r_{G'}}$, i.e., (ii) holds.

Case 3: $\frac{\varepsilon n r_G}{50r_{G'}} \leq s \leq \frac{2n \log n}{7r_G}$. Let $A_x = B_x \cap N_H(x)$ and note that

$$\sum_{x \in S} |B_x| \stackrel{(10)}{\geq} \varepsilon r_G s \geq \varepsilon r_G \frac{\varepsilon n r_G}{50r_{G'}} \stackrel{(9)}{\geq} 8|E(H') \setminus E(H)|.$$

Hence $\sum_{x \in S} |A_x| \geq \sum_{x \in S} |B_x| - 2|E(H') \setminus E(H)| \geq \frac{3}{4} \sum_{x \in S} |B_x| \geq 12s \log^2 n$ by (10). So Corollary 37(i) with $G = G$ implies that $t \geq \frac{\sum_{x \in S} |A_x|}{4 \log n} \geq 3s \log n$, and hence (i) holds.

Case 4: $\max\{\frac{\varepsilon n r_G}{50r_{G'}}, \frac{2n \log n}{7r_G}\} \leq s \leq \varepsilon n/90$. Let $A_x = B_x \cap N_H(x)$, and note similarly to Case 3 that $\sum_{x \in S} |A_x| \geq \frac{3}{4} \sum_{x \in S} |B_x|$. Hence Corollary 37(ii) with $G = G$ implies that

$$t + 3s \geq \frac{n \sum_{x \in S} |A_x|}{7sr_G} \geq \frac{n \sum_{x \in S} |B_x|}{10sr_G} \stackrel{(10)}{\geq} \frac{\varepsilon n}{10}.$$

So $t \geq \varepsilon n/15$, and $t/2 - s \geq \varepsilon n/45$. Hence (iii) holds.

Case 5: $s \geq \varepsilon n/90$. We may assume without loss of generality that $s \leq |Q'|/6$ (otherwise (iv) holds). In this case we must have $|Q'| \geq \varepsilon n/15$.

Claim: $|N_{H'}(S) \cap Q'| \geq 2|Q'|/3$.

Let $Q'' = Q' \setminus (N_{H'}(S) \cup S)$. To prove the claim, suppose for a contradiction that $|Q' \setminus N_{H'}(S)| \geq |Q'|/3$. Then

$$|Q''| \geq |Q'|/3 - |S| \geq |Q'|/6 \geq s \geq \varepsilon n/90.$$

Now since $e_{H'}(S, Q'') = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_G(S, Q'') &\leq |E(G) \setminus E(H')| \leq |E(G) \setminus E(H)| + |E(H) \setminus E(H')| \\ &\stackrel{(8),(9)}{\leq} 2n\sqrt{r_G \log n} + \frac{\varepsilon^2 n r_G^2}{10^4 r_{G'}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2 n r_G}{9000}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

But on the other hand Definition 7(a) and (1) imply that

$$e_G(S, Q'') \geq \frac{r_G s |Q''|}{n} - 4\sqrt{r_G}(s + |Q''|) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2 n r_G}{90^2} - 4n\sqrt{r_G} \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2 n r_G}{8500},$$

contradicting (11). This proves the claim. Now $\frac{1}{2}|N_{H'}(S) \cap Q'| - |S| \geq |Q'|/3 - |Q'|/6 = |Q'|/6$ and so (v) holds. $\square$

For a graph H and a set $S \subseteq V(H)$, let $\text{Int}_H(S)$ be the set of vertices $x \in V(H)$ such that $x \in S$ and $N_H(x) \subseteq S$. If S is a set of vertices such that $S \not\subseteq V(H)$, then we take $\text{Int}_H(S)$ to mean $\text{Int}_H(S \cap V(H))$. Further let $\text{Cl}_H(S) = S \cup N_H(S)$. Note that $V(H) \setminus \text{Int}_H(S) = \text{Cl}(V(H) \setminus S)$, and that if P is a path then it is possible for an endpoint x of P to be in $\text{Int}_P(S)$.

Roughly speaking, the following lemma states that rotations (and extensions) of a path P do not have much effect on $\text{Int}_P(S)$, provided that the pivots (or join vertices) themselves lie in $\text{Int}_P(S)$.

Lemma 39. *Let $P = x \dots y$ be a path, and let Q be a set of vertices.*

- (i) *Let $z \in \text{Int}_P(Q)$. Suppose we perform a rotation of P with pivot z , and let P' be the resulting path. Then $\text{Int}_P(Q) \setminus \{z\} \subseteq \text{Int}_{P'}(Q) \subseteq \text{Int}_P(Q)$. Further, if $x \in Q$ then $\text{Int}_{P'}(Q) = \text{Int}_P(Q)$.*
- (ii) *Let C be a cycle vertex-disjoint from P . Let zz^- be an edge of C and suppose that $z \in \text{Int}_C(Q)$. Suppose we perform an extension of P to incorporate C with join vertex z and broken edge zz^- , and let P' be the resulting path. Then $(\text{Int}_P(Q) \cup \text{Int}_C(Q)) \setminus \{z\} \subseteq \text{Int}_{P'}(Q) \subseteq \text{Int}_P(Q) \cup \text{Int}_C(Q)$. Further, if $x \in Q$ then $\text{Int}_{P'}(Q) = \text{Int}_P(Q) \cup \text{Int}_C(Q)$.*

Proof. (i) Let z^- be the predecessor of z along P , z^{--} the predecessor of z^- , and x^+ the successor of x . The only vertices whose neighbourhoods change as a result of the rotation are x, z and z^- . However, if $x \in \text{Int}_P(Q)$ then $x^+ \in Q$ and thus $x \in \text{Int}_{P'}(Q)$ and vice versa (here we use that $z \in Q$). Similarly, $z^- \in \text{Int}_P(Q)$ and $z^- \in \text{Int}_{P'}(Q)$ each hold if and only if $z^{--} \in Q$. Since $z \in \text{Int}_P(Q)$, the first part of (i) follows. If $x \in Q$ then we have $z \in \text{Int}_{P'}(Q)$ and hence $\text{Int}_{P'}(Q) = \text{Int}_P(Q)$.

(ii) The proof of (ii) is similar. $\square$

The following corollary gives, under fairly weak conditions, a lower bound on the number of (H', Q, τ_0) -reachable vertices of a long path P . This allows us to make any one of a large number of vertices of P into the first endpoint of P via a short sequence of rotations. Further, it allows us to ‘avoid’ a specified set (namely $V(P) \setminus Q$) while doing so. We will use this second property for two main purposes: Firstly in order to make sure that certain edges of our 2-factor F which we want to keep are *not* broken during the process of transforming F into a Hamilton cycle, and secondly when we want to prevent one half of P from being affected by the rotations at all. In each of these cases we need to construct sets satisfying the conditions on Q and Q' in Corollary 40; Lemmas 41 and 42 accomplish this.

Corollary 40. *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ be a constant. Let G' be an $r_{G'}/n$ -pseudorandom graph on n vertices and let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom spanning subgraph of G' , with $r_G \leq r_{G'}$ and $\varepsilon r_G \geq 16 \log^2 n$. Let H be a spanning subgraph of G such that (8) holds, and let H' be a spanning subgraph of G' such that (9) holds.*

Let $P = x \dots y$ be a path in G' such that P and H' are edge-disjoint. Let $Q \subseteq V(P)$ with $x \in Q$ and let $Q' \subseteq V(G')$ be such that $Q' \cap V(P) \subseteq \text{Int}_P(Q)$. Suppose that $|N_{H'}(v) \cap Q'| \geq \varepsilon r_G$ for every vertex $v \in Q$.

Then either

- (i) *there exists a (H', Q', τ_0) -reachable vertex v of P which has a neighbour in H' lying in $Q' \setminus V(P)$, or*
- (ii) *the set of (H', Q', τ_0) -reachable vertices of P has size at least $|Q'|/6$.*

Proof. Suppose that (i) does not hold, i.e., that $N_{H'}(v) \cap Q' \subseteq V(P)$ for every (H', Q', τ_0) -reachable vertex v of P . For each $\tau \leq \tau_0$, let U_τ be the set of (H', Q', τ) -reachable vertices of P . Assume for contradiction that (ii) does not hold either, i.e.,

that $|U_\tau| < |Q'|/6$ for all $\tau \leq \tau_0$. By Lemma 35 with $Q = Q' \cap V(P)$ we have that $|U_{\tau+1}| \geq \frac{1}{2}|N_{H'}(U_\tau) \cap Q'| - |U_\tau|$ for each $\tau < \tau_0$, since $N_{H'}(U_\tau) \cap Q' \cap V(P) = N_{H'}(U_\tau) \cap Q'$.

Note that any rotation of P whose pivot lies in $Q' \cap V(P) \subseteq \text{Int}_P(Q)$ produces a path P' whose first endpoint lies in Q . Thus $\text{Int}_{P'}(Q) = \text{Int}_P(Q)$ by Lemma 39(i) and the same holds for all paths obtained by further rotations with pivots in $Q' \cap V(P)$. So $U_\tau \subseteq Q$ and hence $|N_{H'}(v) \cap Q'| \geq \varepsilon r_G$ for each $v \in U_\tau$. Now Lemma 38 with $S = U_\tau$ implies that $|U_{\tau+1}| \geq \min\{\frac{\varepsilon n r_G}{49 r_{G'}}, \frac{\varepsilon n}{45}, |U_\tau| \log n\}$ for any $\tau < \tau_0$. (Here we use that conclusions (iv) and (v) of Lemma 38 cannot hold since $|U_\tau| < |Q'|/6$ for all $\tau \leq \tau_0$.) Hence there must exist some

$$\tau_1 \leq \frac{\log\left(\min\left\{\frac{\varepsilon n r_G}{49 r_{G'}}, \frac{\varepsilon n}{45}\right\}\right)}{\log \log n} + 1 \leq \tau_0 - 1,$$

such that $|U_{\tau_1}| \geq \min\{\frac{\varepsilon n r_G}{49 r_{G'}}, \frac{\varepsilon n}{45}\}$.

Suppose first that $\frac{\varepsilon n}{45} \geq \frac{\varepsilon n r_G}{49 r_{G'}}$. Then $|U_{\tau_1}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon n r_G}{49 r_{G'}}$, and hence Lemma 38 with $S = U_{\tau_1}$ implies that $|U_{\tau+1}| \geq \min\{\frac{\varepsilon n}{45}, |U_{\tau_1}| \log n\}$ for each τ such that $\tau_1 < \tau < \tau_0$. Hence there exists $\tau_2 < \tau_0$ such that $|U_{\tau_2}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon n}{45}$. But now setting $S = U_{\tau_2}$, none of the conclusions of Lemma 38 can hold. So we obtain the desired contradiction.

On the other hand, if $\frac{\varepsilon n}{45} \leq \frac{\varepsilon n r_G}{49 r_{G'}}$ then we already have $|U_{\tau_1}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon n}{45}$. So we derive a contradiction in a similar way. $\square$

The following lemma will be used to obtain a set of vertices in which the expansion property we require still holds, and which also contains no endpoints of any ‘bad’ edges that we want to avoid while rotating. (W will be the set of these endpoints.)

Lemma 41. *Let G' be an $r_{G'}/n$ -pseudorandom graph on n vertices and let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom spanning subgraph of G' , with $300 \log^3 n \leq r_G \leq r_{G'}$. Let H be an even-regular spanning subgraph of G with degree r_H such that $\delta(G) - 1 \leq r_H \leq \delta(G)$. Let H' be an r_H -regular spanning subgraph of G' , such that*

$$|E(H) \setminus E(H')| \leq \frac{n r_G^2}{2500 r_{G'} \log^2 n},$$

and let F be a 2-factor of G' which is edge-disjoint from H' . Let $W \subseteq V(G)$ with $|W| \leq n/400$.

Then there exist sets $V' \subseteq V(G)$ and $V'' \subseteq V' \setminus W$, such that

- $|\text{Int}_F(V'')| \geq n - 6|W|$,
- $|\text{Int}_F(V')| \geq n - |W|/\log^2 n$, and
- $|N_{H'}(v) \cap \text{Int}_F(V'')| \geq r_H/2$ for every $v \in V'$.

Proof. Note that by Definition 7(c),

$$\delta(G) \geq r_G - 2\sqrt{r_G \log n} \geq r_G \left(1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{300 \log n}}\right) \geq 290 \log^3 n,$$

and hence $r_H \geq 288 \log^3 n$.

If $W = \emptyset$ then we can take $V'' = V' = V(G)$, so we assume hereafter that $|W| \geq 1$. We define V'' as follows: Initially $V'' = V(G) \setminus W$. As long as there exists a vertex $v \in V''$ such that $|N_{H'}(v) \cap \text{Int}_F(V'')| \leq r_H/2$, we remove v from V'' . Let W' be the set of removed vertices and note that $|N_{H'}(v) \cap \text{Cl}_F(W \cup W')| \geq r_H/2$ for every $v \in W'$. Suppose that this process continues until $|W'| = |W|$. Then for each $x \in W'$, let $B_x = N_{H'}(x) \cap \text{Cl}_F(W \cup W')$. Thus we have $|B_x| \geq r_H/2$ for each $x \in W'$.

Note that

$$(12) \quad \sum_{x \in W'} |B_x| \geq |W'| r_H / 2 \geq 144 |W'| \log^3 n,$$

and also that $|Cl_F(W \cup W')| \leq 3(|W| + |W'|) = 6|W|$. Now we separate into two cases:

Case 1: $|W'| = |W| \leq nr_H / 150r_{G'}$. Applying Corollary 37 with $G = G'$, $S = W'$ and $A_x = B_x$, we obtain either

$$|Cl_F(W \cup W')| \geq \left| \bigcup_{x \in W'} B_x \right| \geq \frac{|W| r_H}{8 \log n} \geq 7|W|$$

or

$$|Cl_F(W \cup W')| \geq \left| \bigcup_{x \in W'} B_x \right| \geq \frac{|W| r_H n}{14r_{G'} |W|} - 3|W| \geq 7|W|,$$

either of which yields an immediate contradiction.

Case 2: $|W'| = |W| \geq nr_H / 150r_{G'}$. Let $A_x = B_x \cap N_H(x)$. Note that

$$\sum_{x \in W'} |B_x| \geq \frac{|W'| r_H}{2} \geq \frac{r_H}{2} \cdot \frac{nr_H}{150r_{G'}} \geq 4|E(H) \setminus E(H')|,$$

and that

$$\sum_{x \in W'} |A_x| \geq \sum_{x \in W'} |B_x| - 2|E(H) \setminus E(H')| \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in W'} |B_x| \stackrel{(12)}{\geq} 72|W| \log^3 n.$$

So we can apply Corollary 37 with $G = G$ and $S = W'$ to obtain either

$$|Cl_F(W \cup W')| \geq \left| \bigcup_{x \in W'} A_x \right| \geq \frac{|W| r_H}{16 \log n} \geq 7|W|$$

or

$$|Cl_F(W \cup W')| \geq \left| \bigcup_{x \in W'} A_x \right| \geq \frac{|W| r_H n}{28r_G |W|} - 3|W| \geq \frac{n}{30} - 3|W| \geq 7|W|,$$

either of which again yields an immediate contradiction.

So the process must terminate before $|W'| = |W|$. Fix V'' in its state at the point when the process terminates. Note that $|V(G) \setminus V''| \leq 2|W|$ and hence $|Cl_F(V(G) \setminus V'')| \leq 6|W|$, i.e., $|Int_F(V'')| \geq n - 6|W|$.

Let $W'' = \{v \in V(G) \mid |N_{H'}(v) \cap Cl_F(W \cup W')| \geq r_H / 2\}$ and $V' = V(G) \setminus W''$. Since $W'' \subseteq W \cup W'$ we have $|W''| \leq 2|W|$. We will show that V'' and V' satisfy the assertions of the lemma. Since $W'' \subseteq W' \cup W = V(G) \setminus V''$, we have $V'' \subseteq V' \setminus W$ and so it remains to prove that $|Int_F(V')| \geq n - |W| / \log^2 n$. This holds provided that

$$(13) \quad |Cl(W'')| \leq |W| / \log^2 n.$$

We now claim that $|Cl_F(W \cup W')| \geq 18|W''| \log^2 n$. Again we consider two cases:

Case 1: $|W''| \leq nr_H / 300r_{G'} \log^2 n$. Then applying Corollary 37 with $G = G'$, $S = W''$ and $A_x = B_x$, we have either

$$|Cl_F(W \cup W')| \geq \frac{\sum_{x \in W''} |B_x|}{4 \log n} \geq \frac{|W''| r_H}{8 \log n} \geq 18|W''| \log^2 n$$

or

$$|Cl_F(W \cup W')| \geq \frac{|W''| nr_H}{14r_{G'} |W''|} - 3|W''| \geq |W''| (19 \log^2 n - 3) \geq 18|W''| \log^2 n,$$

as desired.

Case 2: $|W''| \geq nr_H/300r_{G'} \log^2 n$. Note that

$$\sum_{x \in W''} |B_x| \geq \frac{r_H}{2} \cdot \frac{nr_H}{300r_{G'} \log^2 n} \geq 4|E(H) \setminus E(H')|,$$

and so setting $A_x = N_H(x) \cap B_x$ again we have

$$\sum_{x \in W''} |A_x| \geq \sum_{x \in W''} |B_x| - 2|E(H) \setminus E(H')| \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in W''} |B_x| \geq 72|W''| \log^2 n.$$

Now again applying Corollary 37, we have either

$$|Cl_F(W \cup W')| \geq \frac{|W''|r_H}{16 \log n} \geq 18|W''| \log^2 n$$

or

$$|Cl_F(W \cup W')| \geq \frac{|W''|r_H n}{28r_G |W''|} - 3|W''| \geq \frac{n}{30} - 3|W''| \geq 13|W| - 6|W| \geq 7|W|.$$

But the latter case cannot occur since $|Cl_F(W \cup W')| \leq 3|W \cup W'| \leq 6|W|$. This proves the claim. Hence $|W''| \leq \frac{|Cl_F(W \cup W')|}{18 \log^2 n} \leq |W|/3 \log^2 n$ and so $|Cl_F(W'')| \leq |W|/\log^2 n$, which proves (13). $\square$

Roughly speaking, the following lemma states that if we have a graph H' which is close to being pseudorandom and a long path P subdivided into $\log n$ segments, then most of the vertices will have many neighbours in most of the segments. This will enable us to carry out rotations involving only the initial half of a long path in the proof of Lemma 43. The proof of Lemma 42 is similar to that of [20, Lemma 22].

Lemma 42. *Let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom graph with $r_G \geq 10^5 \log^2 n$. Let $\varepsilon \leq 1/5$ be a positive constant and let n' be an integer such that $n/10 \leq n' \leq n$. Let $U \subseteq V(G)$ be such that $|V(G) \setminus U| \leq \varepsilon^2 n'/8$, and let H' be a graph on $V(G)$ such that*

$$(14) \quad |E(G) \setminus E(H')| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^3 r_G n}{32000}.$$

Let P be a path which is edge-disjoint from H' , with $V(P) \subseteq V(G)$ and $|P| = n'$, divided into $\log n$ segments $J_1, \dots, J_{\log n}$ whose lengths are as equal as possible. Then there exists a set $I \subseteq [\log n]$ such that $|I| \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \log n$ and for every $i \in I$, there exists $J'_i \subseteq J_i \cap U$ such that $|Int_P(J'_i)| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)n'/\log n$, which satisfies the following condition: For every $v \in J'_i$, and for all but at most $\varepsilon \log n$ indices $j \in I$, $|N_{H'}(v) \cap Int_P(J'_j)| \geq \frac{r_G}{25 \log n}$.

Proof. We define I and $\{J'_i \mid i \in I\}$ as follows: Initially $I = [\log n]$ and $J'_i = J_i \cap U$. For a vertex v and for $j \in I$, call v *weakly connected* to J'_j if $|N_{H'}(v) \cap Int_P(J'_j)| \leq \frac{r_G}{25 \log n}$. As long as there exists $i \in I$ and a vertex $v \in J'_i$, such that v is weakly connected to J'_j for more than $\varepsilon \log n$ values of $j \in I$, we remove v from J'_i . Further, if at any stage there exists $i \in I$ such that $|Int_P(J'_i)| \leq (1 - \varepsilon)n'/\log n$, then we remove i from I .

We claim that this process must terminate before $\varepsilon^2 n'/4 - |V(P) \setminus U|$ vertices are removed. Indeed, suppose we have removed $\varepsilon^2 n'/4 - |V(P) \setminus U|$ vertices and let R be the set of removed vertices. Note that no vertices of $V(P) \setminus U$ are included in R , and that $|R| \geq \varepsilon^2 n'/8$ since $|V(P) \setminus U| \leq |V(G) \setminus U| \leq \varepsilon^2 n'/8$. Now $|R \cup (V(P) \setminus U)| = \varepsilon^2 n'/4$, and so $\sum_{i=1}^{\log n} |Int_P(J'_i)| \geq (1 - 3\varepsilon^2/4)n'$. Hence we have $|Int_P(J'_i)| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)n'/\log n$ for at least $(1 - 3\varepsilon/4) \log n$ values of i , i.e., at most $3\varepsilon \log n/4$ indices have been removed from I . So for each vertex $v \in R$, there remain more than $\varepsilon \log n/4$ indices $i \in I$ for

which v is weakly connected to J'_i . For each $i \in I$, let $WC(i)$ be the set of vertices $v \in R$ which are weakly connected to J'_i . Let $I_0 = \{i \in I \mid |WC(i)| \geq \varepsilon^3 n' / 64\}$.

Claim: For each $i \in I_0$, there are at least $\frac{|WC(i)|r_G}{50 \log n}$ edges in $E(G) \setminus E(H')$ between $Int_P(J'_i)$ and $WC(i)$.

Let $S = Int_P(J'_i)$. To prove the claim, note that $S \cap WC(i) = \emptyset$ since $WC(i) \subseteq R$, and hence (1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} e_G(S, WC(i)) &\geq \frac{|S||WC(i)|r_G}{n} - 4\sqrt{r_G}(|S| + |WC(i)|) \\ &\geq \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)n'|WC(i)|r_G}{n \log n} - 5\sqrt{r_G}|WC(i)| \\ &\geq \frac{|WC(i)|r_G}{50 \log n} \left(4 - \frac{250 \log n}{\sqrt{r_G}}\right) \geq \frac{3|WC(i)|r_G}{50 \log n}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the condition $r_G \geq 10^5 \log^2 n$. But the definition of $WC(i)$ implies that $e_{H'}(S, WC(i)) \leq \frac{|WC(i)|r_G}{25 \log n}$, and the claim follows immediately.

Observe that $\sum_{i \in I} |WC(i)| > |R|\varepsilon \log n / 4 \geq \varepsilon^3 n' \log n / 32$, since each $v \in R$ is weakly connected to J'_i for more than $\varepsilon \log n / 4$ values of $i \in I$. But now

$$\sum_{i \in I \setminus I_0} |WC(i)| \leq |I \setminus I_0| \frac{\varepsilon^3 n'}{64} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^3 n' \log n}{64},$$

and hence $\sum_{i \in I_0} |WC(i)| > \varepsilon^3 n' \log n / 64$. Together with the claim, this implies that

$$|E(G) \setminus E(H')| \geq \frac{r_G}{50 \log n} \sum_{i \in I_0} |WC(i)| > \frac{\varepsilon^3 r_G n' \log n}{3200 \log n} \geq \frac{\varepsilon^3 r_G n}{32000},$$

which contradicts (14). This proves that the process must terminate before $\varepsilon^2 n' / 4 - |V(P) \setminus U|$ vertices are removed. Now $|I| \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \log n$ at the point at which the process terminates, since as before at most $3\varepsilon \log n / 4$ indices have been removed, and so at this point I and $\{J'_i \mid i \in I\}$ satisfy the assertions of the lemma. $\square$

In the next lemma we exhibit a method for merging cycles of a 2-factor F together. The basic idea is fairly simple: given F , we first use rotation-extension to transform some of the cycles in F into a long path. When we can no longer extend the path, we apply Lemma 42 to show that there is a large set Q_1 on the first half of the path whose vertices are reachable (by rotations which only use vertices in the first half of the path as pivots), and a similar set Q_2 in the last half of the path. Since Q_1 and Q_2 are large we can find an edge between them and close the path to a cycle, thus forming a new 2-factor F' with fewer cycles. However, the fact that we need to avoid bad edges forces us to be very careful.

Lemma 43. Let G' be an $r_{G'}/n$ -pseudorandom graph on n vertices, and let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom spanning subgraph of G' with $300 \log^3 n \leq r_G \leq r_{G'}$. Let F be a 2-factor of G' and let H be an even-regular spanning subgraph of G of degree r_H such that $\delta(G) - 1 \leq r_H \leq \delta(G)$. Let H' be an r_H -regular spanning subgraph of G' , such that

$$(15) \quad |E(H) \setminus E(H')| = |E(H') \setminus E(H)| \leq \frac{nr_G^2}{2500r_{G'} \log^2 n}.$$

Let $E_{bad} \subseteq E(F)$ be a matching of size at most $n/220000$. Suppose that F and H' are edge-disjoint and that $2|E_{bad}|/\log^2 n \leq c(F) \leq \frac{4nr_G^2}{r_{G'} \log^3 n}$.

Then unless F is a Hamilton cycle, we can obtain a new 2-factor F' with the following properties:

- $E(F') \subseteq E(F) \cup E(H')$,
- $c(F') < c(F)$,
- $|E(F') \cap E(H')| \leq \frac{5 \log n}{\log \log n} (c(F) - c(F'))$, and
- $E_{bad} \subseteq E(F')$.

Proof. Let W be the set of endpoints of edges in E_{bad} . Note that $|W| \leq n/110000$ and so by Lemma 41 there exist sets $V' \subseteq V(G)$ and $V'' \subseteq V' \setminus W$, with $|Int_F(V'')| \geq n - 6|W|$ and $|Int_F(V')| \geq n - |W|/\log^2 n$ and such that

$$(16) \quad |N_{H'}(v) \cap Int_F(V'')| \geq r_H/2.$$

for every vertex $v \in V'$. Note that

$$(17) \quad c(F) \geq 2|E_{bad}|/\log^2 n = |W|/\log^2 n \geq n - |Int_F(V')|.$$

We will obtain F' by deleting an edge yy' in a cycle of F to form a path P , performing a sequence of rotations and extensions to incorporate other cycles of F into P , and finally closing P to a cycle, thus reducing the number of cycles. The new edges for these rotations and extensions will be taken from a graph H'' , which is defined as follows: Initially $H'' = H' \cup \{yy'\}$. Whenever a rotation or extension with new edge $e \in H''$ is performed, we remove e from H'' and call e a *used* edge. For each edge of H'' used we will add back to H'' the edge of F broken during the corresponding rotation or extension.

We can view H'' as the ‘current’ version of H' ; note that we always have $|E(H'')| = |E(H')|$ or $|E(H'')| = |E(H')| + 1$. Similarly, let F' be the current version of F (so F' is either a 2-factor of $H' \cup F$, or consists of a path and various cycles). Note that since we do not change F itself during the proof, the function Int_F will not change either.

As long as we use on average at most $5 \log n / \log \log n$ edges per cycle of F merged, we have

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} |E(H'') \setminus E(H)| &\leq |E(H) \setminus E(H'')| + 1 \\ &\leq |E(H) \setminus E(H')| + |E(H') \setminus E(H'')| + 1 \\ &\stackrel{(15)}{\leq} \frac{nr_G^2}{2500r_{G'} \log^2 n} + \frac{5c(F) \log n}{\log \log n} + 1 \leq \frac{2nr_G^2}{r_{G'} \log^2 n}. \end{aligned}$$

This fulfils condition (9) of Lemma 38 and Corollary 40 whenever they are applied with $H' = H''$. We also have that $e(G) \leq nr_G/2 + 2n\sqrt{r_G}$ since G is $(r_G/n, 2\sqrt{r_G})$ -jumbled by Definition 7(a), and

$$e(H) = \frac{nr_H}{2} \geq \frac{n(\delta(G) - 1)}{2} \geq \frac{nr_G}{2} - n\sqrt{r_G \log n} - \frac{n}{2}$$

by Definition 7(c). So since $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$,

$$(19) \quad |E(G) \setminus E(H)| \leq 2n\sqrt{r_G} + n\sqrt{r_G \log n} + \frac{n}{2} \leq 2n\sqrt{r_G \log n}.$$

This fulfils condition (8) of Lemma 38 (and Corollary 40). Further, still assuming that on average at most $5 \log n / \log \log n$ edges are used per cycle merged, we have

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} |E(G) \setminus E(H'')| &\leq |E(G) \setminus E(H)| + |E(H) \setminus E(H'')| \\ &\stackrel{(18),(19)}{\leq} 2n\sqrt{r_G \log n} + \frac{2nr_G^2}{r_{G'} \log^2 n} \leq \left(\frac{1}{15}\right)^3 \frac{r_G n}{32000}. \end{aligned}$$

Claim: $H'[Int_F(V')]$ is connected.

To prove the claim, suppose for contradiction that $H'[Int_F(V')]$ has two components S and T . Since (16) implies that $|N_{H'}(v) \cap Int_F(V')| \geq r_H/2$ for any $v \in Int_F(V')$, we can apply Lemma 38 with $\varepsilon = 1/3$, $Q' = Int_F(V')$ and $S = S$. But $N_{H'}(S) \cap Q' = \emptyset$, and so of the possible conclusions of Lemma 38 only (iv) can hold. This implies that $|S| \geq |Q'|/6 \geq n/7$, and similarly $|T| \geq |Q'|/6 \geq n/7$. Now since G is $(r_G/n, 2\sqrt{r_G})$ -jumbled, (1) implies that

$$e_G(S, T) \geq r_G |S| |T| / n - 4\sqrt{r_G}(|S| + |T|) \geq nr_G/50.$$

But by (20) this implies that $e_{H'}(S, T) > 0$. Hence $H'[Int_F(V')]$ has only one component, which proves the claim.

Our first aim is to find a path $P_1 = x'' \dots y''$ whose vertices span at least two cycles of F and so that x'' and y'' lie in $Int_F(V'')$. Let C_1 be a cycle of F containing a vertex of $Int_F(V')$. It is easy to see that $Int_F(V') \setminus V(C_1)$ is nonempty. Indeed, if $V' = V(G)$ then it is sufficient to recall that F is not a Hamilton cycle. Otherwise $|Int_F(V')| \leq n - 3$ and so by (17) the number of vertices which are not part of C_1 is at least $3(c(F) - 1) \geq 3(n - |Int_F(V')| - 1) > n - |Int_F(V')|$. Hence one of these vertices must be in $Int_F(V')$. (This is the only place in the proof where we use the lower bound on $c(F)$.)

Since $H'[Int_F(V')]$ is connected, there exists an edge xy of H' with $x \in Int_F(V') \cap V(C_1)$ and $y \in Int_F(V') \setminus V(C_1)$. Let C_2 be the cycle of F in which y lies. Since E_{bad} is a matching, there will be at least one edge $yy' \notin E_{bad}$ in C_2 incident to y . Delete yy' from C_2 to form a path $C'_2 = y \dots y'$. Note that $Int_F(V'') \cap V(C'_2) \subseteq Int_{C'_2}(V'')$.

Again since E_{bad} is a matching we can find $xx' \notin E_{bad}$ in C_1 incident to x . Perform an extension of C'_2 to incorporate C_1 , with join vertex x and broken edge xx' . Let $P_0 = x' \dots y'$ denote the resulting path and note that $(Int_F(V'') \cap V(P_0)) \setminus \{x, y\} \subseteq Int_{P_0}(V'')$.

Now by (16), x' has a neighbour z_1 in H'' , which lies in $Int_F(V'') \setminus \{x, y\}$. If $z_1 \in V(P_0)$ then we perform a rotation with pivot z_1 ; note that the first endpoint x'' of the resulting path lies in V'' . Otherwise, let C be the cycle containing z_1 and let $z_1 x'' \notin E_{bad}$ be an edge of C . Perform an extension of P_0 to incorporate C and again note that the new first endpoint x'' will lie in V'' . Call the resulting path P'_0 and let $P''_0 = y' \dots x''$ be the reverse of P'_0 . (Recall that the reverse of a path was defined in the second paragraph of Section 7.) Now similarly we can perform a rotation (or extension) of P''_0 with some pivot z_2 (or join vertex z_2), so that the new first endpoint y'' also lies in V'' . Call the resulting path P''_0 and let $P_1 = x'' \dots y''$ be the reverse of P''_0 .

Applying Lemma 39 twice with $P = P_0$ and P''_0 respectively, $Q = V''$ and $z = z_1$ and z_2 respectively implies that

$$(21) \quad (Int_F(V'') \cap V(P_1)) \setminus \{z_1, z_2, x, y\} \subseteq Int_{P_1}(V'').$$

All rotations performed during the remainder of the proof will have pivots in $(Int_F(V'') \cap V(P_1)) \setminus \{z_1, z_2, x, y\}$ (where P_1 is the current path). Thus by (21) the pivots lie in

$Int_{P_1}(V'')$. Also the join vertices of all extensions performed during the remainder of the proof will lie in $Int_F(V'')$. Hence no edges in E_{bad} will be broken. Moreover, the endpoints of P_1 will always lie in V'' and hence Lemma 39 implies that (21) will always hold.

Note that for each $v \in V'$, we have

$$|N_{H''}(v) \cap Int_F(V'')| \geq |N_{H'}(v) \cap Int_F(V'')| - 2$$

since $H' \subseteq H'' \cup F'$ and $\Delta(F') = 2$. So (16) implies that

$$|N_{H''}(v) \cap Int_F(V'')| \geq r_G/3 + 4$$

with room to spare. We now incorporate additional cycles into P_1 by an iterative procedure. Each iteration proceeds as follows:

Apply Corollary 40 with $\varepsilon = 1/3$, $H' = H''$, $P = P_1$, $Q = V'' \cap V(P_1)$ and $Q' = Int_F(V'') \setminus \{z_1, z_2, x, y\}$. We obtain one of two possible conclusions:

Case 1: *There exists an (H'', Q', τ_0) -reachable vertex v of P_1 which has a neighbour $z \in Int_F(V'') \setminus V(P_1)$.* Let C be the cycle of F on which z lies. Now we perform the necessary rotations to make v the first endpoint of P_1 , and then an extension with join vertex z to incorporate C into P_1 . We then redefine P_1 to be the resulting path and begin the next iteration.

Case 2: *The number of (H'', Q', τ_0) -reachable vertices of P_1 is at least $|Q'|/6 \geq 3n/20$.* Note that this immediately implies that $|P_1| \geq |Q'|/6 \geq n/10$. Let P_1 be divided into $\log n$ segments J_i whose lengths are as equal as possible. Noting that $|V(G) \setminus Q'| \leq 6|W| + 4 \leq n/18000$, we may apply Lemma 42 with $\varepsilon = 1/15$, $H' = H''$, $U = Q'$ and $n' = |P_1|$. Note that (14) is satisfied due to (20). Thus we obtain a set $I \subseteq [\log n]$ of size at least $14 \log n / 15$ and sets $J'_i \subseteq J_i \cap Q'$ for each $i \in I$, such that $|Int_{P_1}(J'_i)| \geq 14|P_1|/15 \log n$ and the following holds: For every $v \in J'_i$, and for all but at most $\log n / 15$ indices $j \in I$, $|N_{H''}(v) \cap Int_{P_1}(J'_j)| \geq \frac{r_G}{25 \log n}$.

Note that $|\bigcup_{i \in I} Int_{P_1}(J'_i)| \geq (1 - 2/15)|P_1|$. Hence for some $i \in I$, J'_i contains a (H'', Q', τ_0) -reachable vertex of P_1 . Perform the necessary rotations to make one of these vertices into the first endpoint, breaking at most τ_0 edges in the process. Redefine P_1 to be the resulting path, and let P'_1 be the reverse of P_1 . Now apply Corollary 40 with $\varepsilon = 1/3$, $H' = H''$, $P = P'_1$, $Q = V'' \cap V(P'_1)$ and $Q' = Int_F(V'') \setminus \{z_1, z_2, x, y\}$. Again we obtain one of two possible conclusions:

Case 2a: *There exists an (H'', Q', τ_0) -reachable vertex v of P'_1 which has a neighbour $z \in Int_F(V'') \setminus V(P'_1)$.* In this case we extend P'_1 as in Case 1, redefine P_1 to be the resulting path and begin the next iteration. Note that in future instances of Case 2, the sets I , J_i and J'_i will be redefined for each new path P'_1 .

Case 2b: *The number of (H'', Q', τ_0) -reachable vertices of P'_1 is at least $|Q'|/6 \geq 3n/20$.* Note that any instance of Case 2b is immediately preceded by an instance of Case 2, and so the segments J_i have been defined (possibly redefined) so that they partition $V(P'_1)$. Call a segment J_i *broken* if one of its edges was used as a broken edge in one of the rotations in Case 2 (or, for later uses, in Case 2b), and let $I' \subseteq I$ be the set of all indices $i \in I$ such that J_i is an unbroken segment. Note that since each rotation breaks at most one segment, $|\bigcup_{i \in I'} Int_{P'_1}(J'_i)| \geq (1 - 2/15 - \tau_0 / \log n)|P'_1| > (1 - 3/20)|P'_1|$. Hence for some $i \in I'$, J'_i contains a (H'', Q', τ_0) -reachable vertex of P'_1 . Perform the necessary rotations to make one of these vertices into the first endpoint, breaking at most τ_0 edges in the process. Call the resulting path $P_2 = x_2 \dots y_2$.

Eventually, the iterative procedure has to conclude by entering Case 2b. Let $I'' \subseteq I$ be the set of all indices $i \in I$ such that J_i was broken in neither Case 2 nor Case 2b. Let I_1, I_2 be subsets of I'' such that $|I_1| = |I_2| = |I''|/2$ and for every $i_1 \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2$ the segment J_{i_1} precedes J'_{i_2} where the ordering is taken along P_2 . Now

$$|I_1|, |I_2| \geq \frac{1}{2}((1 - 1/15) \log n - 2\tau_0) \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - 1/10) \log n.$$

Let $Q_1 = \{x_2\} \cup \bigcup_{i \in I_1} J'_i$, $Q_2 = \{y_2\} \cup \bigcup_{i \in I_2} J'_i$ and note that

$$(22) \quad |Int_{P_2}(Q_1)|, |Int_{P_2}(Q_2)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{10}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{15}\right) |P_1| \geq \frac{2|P_1|}{5} \geq \frac{n}{25}.$$

Further, for each vertex v of Q_1 , and for at least $|I_1| - \log n/15 \geq \log n/3$ indices $i \in I_1$, we have that $|N_{H''}(v) \cap Int_{P_2}(J'_i)| \geq \frac{r_G}{25 \log n}$ (noting that $Int_{P_2}(J'_i) = Int_{P_1}(J'_i)$ since J'_i is unbroken). Hence

$$|N_{H''}(v) \cap Int_{P_2}(Q_1)| \geq r_G/75$$

for all $v \in Q_1$, and the corresponding statement holds for vertices of Q_2 .

Now applying Corollary 40 with $P = P_2$, $Q = Q_1$, $Q' = Int_{P_2}(Q_1)$, $H' = H''$ and $\varepsilon = 1/75$ implies that there exists a set A of $|Int_{P_2}(Q_1)|/6$ $(H'', Int_{P_2}(Q_1), \tau_0)$ -reachable vertices of P_2 (since $Q' \subseteq V(P_2)$, it is impossible for the first conclusion of Corollary 40 to hold). Let P'_2 be the reverse of P_2 and apply Corollary 40 again with $P = P'_2$, $Q = Q_2$ and $Q' = Int_{P'_2}(Q_2)$ to obtain a set B of $|Int_{P'_2}(Q_2)|/6$ $(H'', Int_{P'_2}(Q_2), \tau_0)$ -reachable vertices of P'_2 . Now $|A|, |B| \geq n/150$ by (22), and so using (1) we have

$$e_G(A, B) \geq \frac{|A||B|r_G}{n} - 4\sqrt{r_G}(|A| + |B|) \geq \frac{nr_G}{22500} - 4n\sqrt{r_G} \geq \frac{nr_G}{30000}.$$

Hence

$$e_{H''}(A, B) \geq \frac{nr_G}{30000} - |E(G) \setminus E(H'')| \stackrel{(20)}{>} 0.$$

Now let $x_3 y_3 \in E_{H''}(A, B)$. Noting that x_3 is $(H'', Int_{P_2}(Q_1), \tau_0)$ -reachable, perform a set of at most τ_0 rotations of P_2 with pivots in $Int_{P_2}(Q_1)$, to form a new path P''_2 whose first endpoint is x_3 . Note that $Int_{P''_2}(Q_2) = Int_{P_2}(Q_2)$. Thus setting P'''_2 to be the reverse of P''_2 , we have that y_3 is still $(H'', Int_{P'''_2}(Q_2), \tau_0)$ -reachable. Perform a set of at most τ_0 rotations to make y_3 the first endpoint of P'''_2 . Note that all the pivots of these rotations lie in $Q_1 \cup Q_2 \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} J'_i \subseteq Q'$, and so as discussed after (21) no edge in E_{bad} is broken. Now use $x_3 y_3$ to close P'''_2 to a cycle.

It remains to estimate the number of edges broken during the process. In the initial formation of P_1 we break only 4 edges (two while forming P_0 and one for each of the two subsequent rotations or extensions). During each instance of Case 1 or Case 2a we break at most $\tau_0 + 1$ edges, during Case 2 we break at most τ_0 edges, during Case 2b we break at most τ_0 edges, and during the remainder of the proof we break at most $2\tau_0$ edges. Each time we merge a new cycle into P_1 , we need either to go through Case 1 once, or through both Cases 2 and 2a once. Case 2b occurs only once (at the end, after Case 2).

Let k_1 be the number of times we repeat Case 1 and k_2 be the number of times we repeat Cases 2 and 2a. Then the number of cycles of F decreases by $k_1 + k_2 + 1$. Further the total number of edges broken is at most

$$4 + k_1(\tau_0 + 1) + k_2(2\tau_0 + 1) + 4\tau_0 \leq 4(k_1 + k_2 + 1)\tau_0 + 4$$

So on average the number of edges broken per cycle merged is at most $4\tau_0 + 4 \leq 5 \log n / \log \log n$. $\square$

We can now apply Lemma 43 repeatedly to transform 2-factors into Hamilton cycles. Given a 2-regular graph F and an edge-disjoint graph H on the same vertex set, we say that a Hamilton cycle C is formed by *merging* the cycles of F using edges of H if $E(C) \subseteq E(F) \cup E(H)$. $E(H) \cap E(C)$ is the set of *used edges*, and $E(F) \setminus E(C)$ is the set of *broken edges*.

Corollary 44. *Let G' be an $r_{G'}/n$ -pseudorandom graph on n vertices, and let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom spanning subgraph of G' with $300 \log^3 n \leq r_G \leq r_{G'}$. Let H be an even-regular spanning subgraph of G with degree r_H , such that $\delta(G) - 1 \leq r_H \leq \delta(G)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a collection of edge-disjoint 2-factors $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m$ of G' , such that each F_i is edge-disjoint from H .*

Let $E_{bad} \subseteq E(\bigcup \mathcal{F})$ be such that $E_{bad} \cap E(F_i)$ is a matching and $|E_{bad} \cap E(F_i)| \leq n/10^6$ for each F_i . Suppose that $c(\mathcal{F})r_{G'} \log^3 n \leq 4nr_G^2$. Then we can merge the cycles of each F_i into a Hamilton cycle C_i using the edges of H . Further, we can ensure that the number of edges of E_{bad} broken during the process is at most $|E_{bad}|/\log n$, and that all of the C_i 's are pairwise edge-disjoint.

When r_G is much smaller than $r_{G'}$ the bound on $c(\mathcal{F})$ is more restrictive than simply requiring that $c(\mathcal{F})$ is small compared to $e(H)$ as one might at first expect. The assumption is necessary due to (15) which in turn arises from (9). In the proof of Lemma 47 (and thus of Theorem 48) this assumption will be the limiting factor in determining how small we can make r_G compared to $r_{G'}$, and thus how many iterations we need to use.

Proof. We merge cycles by repeatedly applying Lemma 43. During this process we will remove certain edges from H (namely those which lie in the new 2-factor obtained by Lemma 43) and add certain edges to H (namely those edges which are removed from the old 2-factor in Lemma 43 to obtain the new one). Let H' denote the ‘current’ version of H (so H always denotes the original version).

We use Lemma 43 repeatedly to reduce $c(F_i)$ until $c(F_i) = 1$, i.e., F_i is a Hamilton cycle for each i . We make use of the fact that on average at most $5 \log n / \log \log n$ edges of H' are used by Lemma 43 for each cycle that needs to be merged. Hence we have

$$|E(H) \setminus E(H')| = |E(H') \setminus E(H)| \leq \frac{5c(\mathcal{F}) \log n}{\log \log n} \leq \frac{r_G^2 n}{2500r_{G'} \log^2 n}$$

throughout the process. So (15) is satisfied.

More precisely, we proceed as follows: Given $1 \leq i \leq m$, suppose that the number of cycles of F_i is at least $2|E_{bad} \cap E(F_i)|/\log^2 n$. Then we can apply Lemma 43 with $E_{bad} = E_{bad} \cap E(F_i)$ to merge one or more cycles of F_i together, without breaking any edges of E_{bad} and using on average at most $5 \log n / \log \log n$ edges of H' per cycle merged. (Note that the required upper bound on $c(F_i)$ holds since $c(F_i) \leq c(\mathcal{F})$.)

On the other hand if the number of cycles is fewer than $2|E_{bad} \cap E(F_i)|/\log^2 n$, then we can apply Lemma 43 with $E_{bad} = \emptyset$ repeatedly to merge all of the remaining cycles of F_i together, thus forming a Hamilton cycle. Again we use at most $5 \log n / \log \log n$ edges of H' per cycle and thus the total number of edges of E_{bad} which are broken during this process is at most

$$\frac{2|E_{bad} \cap E(F_i)|}{\log^2 n} \cdot \frac{5 \log n}{\log \log n} \leq \frac{|E_{bad} \cap E(F_i)|}{\log n}.$$

The bound on the total number of edges of E_{bad} broken follows immediately. $\square$

For a given application of Corollary 44 during the remainder of the paper, define the *leftover graph* to be the final state of H' . Thus H' is obtained from H by deleting the edges of the Hamilton cycles produced by Corollary 44, and adding those edges of $\bigcup \mathcal{F}$ which do not lie in any of these Hamilton cycles.

We now prove variants of Lemma 43 and Corollary 44. These will be used in cases where instead of needing to avoid a set of bad edges when rotating, we only want to avoid a vertex x_0 (when we apply the lemmas x_0 will be the vertex of minimum degree in $G_{n,p}$). For clarity we prove these as separate lemmas as the conditions are different, but the proofs proceed along similar lines in each case.

Lemma 45. *Let G' be an $r_{G'}/n$ -pseudorandom graph on n vertices, and let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom spanning subgraph of G' with $10^5 \log^2 n \leq r_G \leq r_{G'}$. Let x_0 be a vertex of G and let H be formed from G by removing all of the edges of G incident to x_0 . Let H' be a spanning subgraph of G' , such that (15) holds and $d_H(v) = d_{H'}(v)$ for each $v \in V(G)$.*

Let F be a 2-factor of G' , edge-disjoint from H' , such that $c(F) \leq \frac{4nr_G^2}{r_{G'} \log^3 n}$. Then unless F is a Hamilton cycle, we can obtain a new 2-factor F' such that the following hold:

- $E(F') \subseteq E(F) \cup E(H')$,
- $c(F') < c(F)$, and
- $|E(F') \cap E(H')| \leq \frac{5 \log n}{\log \log n} (c(F) - c(F'))$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 43. We will again obtain F' from F by performing a sequence of rotations and extensions, with all the new edges for these rotations and extensions taken from a graph H'' which is defined as in the proof of Lemma 43. Again, we say that an edge e of H'' is *used* if it is the new edge of some rotation or extension performed during the proof. Define the current version F' of F as in the proof of Lemma 43. Observe that $e(H) \geq e(G) - n$, and so assuming we use on average at most $\frac{5 \log n}{\log \log n}$ edges for each cycle of F merged, the bounds (18), (19) and (20) still hold. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(H'' - \{x_0\}) &\geq \delta(H' - \{x_0\}) = \delta(H - \{x_0\}) \\ (23) \quad &\geq \delta(G) - 1 \geq r_G - 2\sqrt{r_G \log n} - 1 \geq \frac{r_G}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Claim: $H' - \{x_0\}$ is connected.

To prove the claim, suppose for a contradiction that $H' - \{x_0\}$ has two components, S and T . By (23) we can apply Lemma 38 with $\varepsilon = 1/3$, $Q' = V(G) \setminus \{x_0\}$ and $S = S$. But now $N_{H' - \{x_0\}}(S) = \emptyset$, and so of the possible conclusions of Lemma 38 only (iv) can hold. This implies that $|S| \geq (n-1)/6 > n/7$. Similarly $|T| \geq (n-1)/6 > n/7$. Now since G is $(r_G/n, 2\sqrt{r_G})$ -jumbled we have that

$$e_G(S, T) \geq r_G |S| |T| / n - 4\sqrt{r_G} (|S| + |T|) \geq nr_G / 50.$$

But by (20) this implies that $e_{H'}(S, T) > 0$, which contradicts our assumption that S and T were components. Hence $H' - \{x_0\}$ has only one component, which proves the claim.

Let C_1 be a cycle of F . Since $H' - \{x_0\}$ is connected, there exists an edge xy of H' joining two distinct cycles C_1 and C_2 of F , with x on C_1 and y on C_2 and such that $x, y \neq x_0$. Let yy' be an edge of C_2 incident to y such that $y' \neq x_0$. Delete yy' from C_2 to form a path C'_2 . Let xx' be an edge of C_1 such that $x' \neq x_0$, and perform

an extension of C'_2 with join vertex x and broken edge xx' to incorporate C_1 . Let $P_1 = x' \dots y'$ denote the resulting path. Note that x_0 cannot be an endpoint of P_1 .

Let $V'' = V(G) \setminus \{x_0\}$ and $Q' = \text{Int}_F(V'')$. Note that $\text{Int}_{P_1}(V'') = Q' \cap V(P_1)$. All rotations performed during the remainder of the proof will have pivots in $\text{Int}_F(V'') \cap V(P_1)$, where P_1 is the current path. Similarly, all extensions performed during the remainder of the proof will have join vertices in Q' . Thus by applying Lemma 39 with $Q = V''$, we always have that

$$\text{Int}_{P_1}(V'') = \text{Int}_F(V'') \cap V(P_1) = Q' \cap V(P_1).$$

So in particular x_0 will never be an endpoint of P_1 .

By (23) we can apply Corollary 40 with $\varepsilon = 1/3$, $H' = H''$, $P = P_1$, $Q = V'' \cap V(P_1)$ and $Q' = Q'$ and use the same case analysis as in Lemma 43. The remainder of the argument is also identical to that in the proof of Lemma 43. (Note that $x_0 \notin J'_i$ for any i since $J'_i \subseteq J_i \cap Q'$, and hence $x_0 \notin Q_1$ and $x_0 \notin Q_2$.) $\square$

Corollary 46. *Let G' be an $r_{G'}/n$ -pseudorandom graph on n vertices, and let G be an r_G/n -pseudorandom spanning subgraph of G' with $10^5 \log^2 n \leq r_G \leq r_{G'}$. Let x_0 be a vertex of G and let H be formed from G by removing all of the edges of G incident to x_0 . Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a collection of edge-disjoint 2-factors $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m$ of G' , such that each F_i is edge-disjoint from H and $c(\mathcal{F}) \leq \frac{4nr_G^2}{r_{G'} \log^3 n}$. Then we can merge the cycles of each F_i into a Hamilton cycle C_i using the edges of H , such that the C_i 's are pairwise edge-disjoint. (Recall that merging was defined in the paragraph before Corollary 44.)*

Proof. We merge cycles by repeatedly applying Lemma 45. During this process we will remove certain edges from H (namely those which lie in the new 2-factor obtained by Lemma 45) and add certain edges to H (namely those edges which are removed from the old 2-factor in Lemma 45 to obtain the new one). Let H' denote the ‘current’ version of H (so H always denotes the original version).

We use Lemma 45 repeatedly to reduce $c(F_i)$ until $c(F_i) = 1$, i.e., F_i is a Hamilton cycle for each i . We make use of the fact that on average at most $5 \log n / \log \log n$ edges of H' are used by Lemma 45 for each cycle that needs to be merged. So

$$|E(H) \setminus E(H')| = |E(H') \setminus E(H)| \leq \frac{5c(\mathcal{F}) \log n}{\log \log n} \leq \frac{r_G^2 n}{2500 r_{G'} \log^2 n},$$

and hence (15) is satisfied throughout the process. $\square$

8. COMPLETING THE PROOF

In this section we combine our results to prove Theorem 2. Roughly speaking, the following lemma states that given a graph H_0 which is close to being pseudorandom and given about $\log n$ pseudorandom graphs $H_1, \dots, H_{2m+1}$, we can find a set of edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles in the union of these graphs which cover all of the edges of H_0 . While the H_i cannot be too sparse, they need not be as dense as H_0 . The point is that the remaining ‘uncovered’ graph is much sparser than H_0 and is also not too far from being pseudorandom. In the proof of Theorem 48 we apply this lemma three times in succession to obtain an uncovered graph which is very sparse.

Lemma 47. *Let $p_0 \geq \log^{14} n / n$, and let $p_1 \geq ((np_0)^3 \log^{10} n)^{1/4} / n$. Let $m = \frac{\log(n^2 p_1)}{\log \log n}$ and let $p_2, \dots, p_{2m+1}$ be positive reals such that $p_i = p_1$ for odd i and $p_i = 10^{10} p_1$ for even i . Let G_0 be a p_0 -pseudorandom graph on n vertices. Suppose that G_i is a p_i -pseudorandom spanning subgraph of G_0 , and let H_i be an even-regular spanning*

subgraph of G_i such that $\delta(G_i) - 1 \leq \delta(H_i) \leq \delta(G_i)$, for each $1 \leq i \leq 2m+1$. Suppose that the graphs G_i are pairwise edge-disjoint for $1 \leq i \leq 2m+1$ and let H_0 be an even-regular spanning subgraph of G_0 which is edge-disjoint from $\bigcup_{i=1}^{2m+1} H_i$. Then there exists a collection $\mathcal{HC}$ of edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles such that $H_0 \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{HC} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{2m+1} H_i$.

Formally the assumption $p_0 \geq \log^{14} n/n$ can be omitted. It is included for clarity since if p_0 is significantly smaller then $p_0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2m+1} p_i$ and so the lemma becomes vacuous.

To prove Lemma 47 we first decompose H_0 into 2-factors which on average have few cycles. We then use edges of H_1 to transform these 2-factors into Hamilton cycles. Because edges are exchanged between H_1 and the 2-factors there will still be some edges of H_0 left uncovered (the ‘bad’ edges). We decompose $H'_1 \cup H_2$ (where H'_1 is the leftover of H_1 and H_0) into 2-factors with few cycles and then use H_3 to transform them into Hamilton cycles (we cannot decompose H'_1 on its own since it is no longer close to being pseudorandom). Again some edges of H_0 will be left uncovered, but we can guarantee that the number of such edges will be reduced (by a factor of about $\log n$). After about $\log n / \log \log n$ iterations we arrive at a leftover graph which contains no edges of H_0 , i.e., all of the edges of H_0 are covered.

Proof of Lemma 47. Note that $p_1 \geq \log^{13} n/n$. Corollary 27 with $G = G_0$ and $H = H_0$ implies that H_0 can be decomposed into a collection $\mathcal{F}_1$ of 2-factors such that $c(\mathcal{F}_1) \leq 3n\sqrt{np_0 \log^3 n}$. Since

$$(24) \quad c(\mathcal{F}_1) \cdot np_0 \log^3 n \leq 4n(np_0 \log^3 n)^{3/2} \leq 4n(np_1)^2,$$

we may apply Corollary 44 with $G' = G_0$, $G = G_1$, $H = H_1$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1$ and $E_{\text{bad}} = \emptyset$. This allows us to merge the cycles of each 2-factor of $\mathcal{F}_1$ to form a collection of edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles. Let $H'_1 \subseteq H_0 \cup H_1$ be the leftover graph from Corollary 44 (as defined after the proof of Corollary 44), and let $E_{\text{bad}} = E(H'_1) \cap E(H_0)$. Note that $|E_{\text{bad}}| \leq |E(H'_1)| = |E(H_1)| \leq n^2 p_1 / 2$.

Now H'_1 is even-regular with degree at most np_1 , and $np_1 + 1 + 10^6 np_1 / 2 \leq np_2 / 5000$. Hence we may apply Corollary 31 with $G = G_2$, $H = H_2$ and $H' = H'_1$ to obtain a decomposition $\mathcal{F}_2$ of $H'_1 \cup H_2$ into 2-factors, such that $c(\mathcal{F}_2) \leq 4n\sqrt{np_2 \log^3 n}$ and $E(F) \cap E_{\text{bad}}$ is a matching of size at most $n/10^6$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}_2$.

By (24) (noting that $p_1 = p_3$) we may apply Corollary 44 with $G' = G_0$, $G = G_3$, $H = H_3$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2$ and $E_{\text{bad}} = E_{\text{bad}}$. This yields another collection of edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles and a leftover graph H'_3 . Furthermore, if we redefine $E_{\text{bad}} = E(H'_3) \cap E(H_0)$, then $|E_{\text{bad}}|$ is reduced by a factor of $\log n$.

We now repeat this process a further $m-1$ times, using up the graphs $H_4, H_5, \dots, H_{2m+1}$. Now we have $|E(H'_{2m+1}) \cap E(H_0)| \leq \frac{n^2 p_1}{2(\log n)^m} < 1$, i.e., $E(H'_{2m+1}) \cap E(H_0) = \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{HC}$ be the union of all the collections of Hamilton cycles produced by this process and note that $H_0 \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{HC} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{2m+1} H_i$. $\square$

Before proving Theorem 2, we state a ‘pseudorandom’ version of the theorem. The conditions in this version are significantly more complicated than those of Theorem 2; however, it has the advantage of being entirely deterministic and we believe it to be of independent interest.

Theorem 48. Let $\log^{50} n/n \leq p_0 \leq 1 - n^{-1/4} \log^9 n$. Let

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} p_2 &= \frac{(np_0)^{3/4} \log^{7/2} n}{n}, \quad p_3 = \frac{(np_2)^{3/4} \log^{7/2} n}{n}, \\ p_4 &= \frac{(np_3)^{3/4} \log^{7/2} n}{n}, \quad p_5 = \frac{\sqrt{np_0(1-p_0)}}{n \log^{3/4} n} \end{aligned}$$

and $p_1 = p_0 - p_2 - p_3 - p_4 - p_5$. For $i = 2, 3, 4$ let

$$m_i = \frac{2 \log(n^2 p_i)}{\log \log n}.$$

For $1 \leq j \leq 2m_i + 1$ let $p_{(i,j)} = \frac{p_i}{(10^{10}+1)m_i+1}$ if j is odd and $p_{(i,j)} = \frac{10^{10}p_i}{(10^{10}+1)m_i+1}$ if j is even.

Let G_0 be a p_0 -pseudorandom graph on n vertices. Suppose that G_0 has a decomposition into graphs G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4, G_5 , and that G_i has a decomposition into graphs $G_{(i,1)}, G_{(i,2)}, \dots, G_{(i,2m_i+1)}$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$, such that the following conditions hold:

- (i) G_i is p_i -pseudorandom for each $1 \leq i \leq 5$,
- (ii) $G_{(i,j)}$ is $p_{(i,j)}$ -pseudorandom for $i = 2, 3, 4$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2m_i + 1$,
- (iii) $G_i \cup G_{i+1}$ is $(p_i + p_{i+1})$ -pseudorandom for $i = 2, 3, 4$, and
- (iv) G_0 is $4u$ -jumping where

$$(26) \quad u = 2np_5 = \frac{2\sqrt{np_0(1-p_0)}}{\log^{3/4} n}.$$

Then G_0 has property $\mathcal{H}$.

For the moment we will assume the truth of Theorem 48 and use it to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $p_0 = p$ and let $G_0 \sim G_{n,p}$. Define as in the statement of Theorem 48 the real numbers p_i for $1 \leq i \leq 5$, integers m_i for $i = 2, 3, 4$, and reals $p_{(i,j)}$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2m_i + 1$.

Form graphs G_1, G_5 and $G_{(i,j)}$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2m_i + 1$ as follows: For each edge e of G_0 , place e in G_1 with probability p_1/p_0 , in G_5 with probability p_5/p_0 , and in $G_{(i,j)}$ with probability $p_{(i,j)}/p_0$ for each $i = 2, 3, 4$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2m_i + 1$. Let $G_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{2m_i+1} G_{(i,j)}$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$.

Note that $p_i = o(p_0)$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$ and that

$$(27) \quad np_5 \geq \log^{24} n, \quad np_i \geq \log^{14} n \text{ and } np_{(i,j)} \geq \log^{13} n$$

for $i = 2, 3, 4$, where the second inequality holds since $x \geq \log^{14} n$ implies that $x^{3/4} \log^{7/2} n \geq \log^{14} n$. Thus the bounds on each p_i and $p_{(i,j)}$ in Lemma 16 hold, and hence Lemma 16 implies that G_0 is p_0 -pseudorandom and that conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 48 hold whp. Moreover, condition (iv) holds whp by Lemma 17. Hence Theorem 48 implies that G_0 has property $\mathcal{H}$. $\square$

It remains to prove Theorem 48.

Proof of Theorem 48. Recall from the proof of Theorem 2 that $p_i = o(p_0)$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$. Thus

$$(28) \quad p_1 = (1 - o(1))p_0.$$

Note also that (27) holds and

$$(29) \quad np_4 = (np_0)^{27/64} \log^{\frac{7}{2}(1+\frac{3}{4}+\frac{9}{16})} \leq (np_0)^{27/64} \log^{49/6} n.$$

Let x_0 be the vertex of G_0 of minimum degree. If $\delta(G_0)$ is odd, then at this point we use Lemma 22(i) to remove an optimal matching M_{Opt} which covers x_0 from G_1 , and let G'_1 be the remainder. If $\delta(G_0)$ is even then let $G'_1 = G_1$ and $M_{Opt} = \emptyset$.

Form H_5 from G_5 by removing all of the edges incident to x_0 , and add the removed edges to G'_1 . For each $G_{(i,j)}$, apply Lemma 22(ii) with $u = 0$ to form a regular spanning subgraph $H_{(i,j)}$ whose degree is either $\delta(G_{(i,j)})$ (if $\delta(G_{(i,j)})$ is even) or $\delta(G_{(i,j)}) - 1$ (otherwise). If there are edges of $G_{(i,j)} \setminus H_{(i,j)}$ which are incident to x_0 , move these edges into G'_1 . Let $H_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{2m_i+1} H_{(i,j)}$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$. Now all edges of G_0 which are incident to x_0 lie in $G'_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3 \cup H_4 \cup M_{Opt}$, i.e.,

$$(30) \quad d_{G_0}(x_0) = d_{G'_1}(x_0) + d_{H_2}(x_0) + d_{H_3}(x_0) + d_{H_4}(x_0) + d_{M_{Opt}}(x_0).$$

Let $\sum_{i,j}$ denote the summation $\sum_{i=2}^4 \sum_{j=1}^{2m_i+1}$. Note that (30) implies that

$$(31) \quad d_{G_1}(x_0) - 1 \leq d_{G'_1}(x_0) \leq \delta(G_0) - 2 \sum_{i,j} \lfloor \delta(G_{(i,j)})/2 \rfloor \leq \delta(G_0) - \sum_{i,j} (\delta(G_{(i,j)}) - 1).$$

The next claim shows that the number of edges incident to x_0 which we added to G_1 to form G'_1 is at most u .

Claim 1:

$$(32) \quad \Delta(G_5) + \sum_{i,j} (\Delta(G_{(i,j)}) - \delta(G_{(i,j)}) + 1) \leq u.$$

Indeed, by Definition 7(c) we have that

$$\Delta(G_5) \leq np_5 + 2\sqrt{np_5 \log n} \leq \frac{4np_5}{3} \stackrel{(26)}{=} \frac{2u}{3}.$$

Further, note that

$$(33) \quad (5 \log^4 n)^8 \leq np_0(1 - p_0)^4.$$

Also, Definition 7(c) implies that

$$\Delta(G_{(2,j)}) - \delta(G_{(2,j)}) \leq 4\sqrt{np_{(2,j)} \log n} \leq 4\sqrt{np_2 \log n}$$

for each $1 \leq j \leq 2m_2 + 1$. Hence using $m_2 = o(\log n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{2m_2+1} (\Delta(G_{(2,j)}) - \delta(G_{(2,j)}) + 1) &\leq 4(2m_2 + 1) (\sqrt{np_2 \log n} + 1) \\ &\leq \log n \sqrt{np_2 \log n} = \log^{13/4} n (np_0)^{3/8} \\ &\stackrel{(33)}{\leq} \frac{\sqrt{np_0(1-p_0)}}{5 \log^{3/4} n} = \frac{np_5}{5} \stackrel{(26)}{=} \frac{u}{10}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2m_i+1} (\Delta(G_{(i,j)}) - \delta(G_{(i,j)}) + 1) \leq \log n \sqrt{np_i \log n} \leq \frac{u}{10}$$

for $i = 3, 4$. Thus the left-hand side of (32) is at most $2u/3 + 3(u/10) \leq u$, which proves the claim.

Claim 2: Each $x \neq x_0$ satisfies $d_{G_1}(x) \geq d_{G'_1}(x) + 2u$.

In other words, x_0 is the vertex of minimum degree in G_1 and G_1 is $2u$ -jumping. To prove Claim 2, recall our assumption that G_0 is $4u$ -jumping. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{G_1}(x) &\geq \delta(G_0) + 4u - \Delta(G_5) - \sum_{i,j} \Delta(G_{(i,j)}) \\ &\stackrel{(32)}{\geq} \delta(G_0) - \sum_{i,j} (\delta(G_{(i,j)}) - 1) + 3u \stackrel{(31)}{\geq} d_{G_1}(x_0) - 1 + 3u \geq d_{G_1}(x_0) + 2u, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim.

Since each $H_{(i,j)}$ is even-regular and since M_{Opt} covers x_0 if and only if $d_{G_0}(x_0)$ is odd, (30) implies that $d_{G'_1}(x_0)$ is even. Also recall that the number of edges added to G_1 at the vertex x_0 (after removing M_{Opt}) to form G'_1 is at most the left-hand side of (32), and hence is at most u . So x_0 is the vertex of minimum degree in G'_1 . Moreover, since $1 - p_0 < 1 - p_1$,

$$u \stackrel{(26),(28)}{\leq} \frac{2\sqrt{n \cdot 2p_1(1-p_1)}}{\log^{3/4} n} \leq 4\sqrt{np_1(1-p_1)}.$$

Hence we may apply Lemma 22 with $G = G_1$ and $G' = G'_1$ to form a regular spanning subgraph H_1 of G'_1 with degree $\delta(G'_1) = d_{G'_1}(x_0)$. Note that H_1 contains every edge of G'_1 incident to x_0 .

By Lemma 47 where $p_0 = p_0$, $p_j = p_{(2,j)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2m_2 + 1$, $G_0 = G_0$, $H_0 = H_1$, $G_j = G_{(2,j)}$, and $H_j = H_{(2,j)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2m_2 + 1$, there exists a collection $\mathcal{HC}_1$ of edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles in $H_1 \cup H_2$ which cover the edges of H_1 . Let H'_2 be the graph formed by the edges of H_2 which are not contained in one of these Hamilton cycles.

Applying Lemma 47 again with $p_0 = p_2 + p_3$, $p_j = p_{(3,j)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2m_3 + 1$, $G_0 = G_2 \cup G_3$, $H_0 = H'_2$, $G_j = G_{(3,j)}$, and $H_j = H_{(3,j)}$, we obtain a collection $\mathcal{HC}_2$ of edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles in $H'_2 \cup H_3$ which cover H'_2 . Let H'_3 be the graph formed by the edges of H_3 which are not covered by one of the Hamilton cycles.

Applying Lemma 47 again with $p_0 = p_3 + p_4$, $p_j = p_{(4,j)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2m_4 + 1$, $G_0 = G_3 \cup G_4$, $H_0 = H'_3$, $G_j = G_{(4,j)}$, and $H_j = H_{(4,j)}$, we obtain a collection $\mathcal{HC}_3$ of edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles in $H'_3 \cup H_4$ which cover H'_3 . Let H'_4 be the graph formed by the edges of H_4 which are not covered by one of the Hamilton cycles.

Note that H'_4 is a subgraph of G_4 . Hence by Corollary 27 we can find a decomposition $\mathcal{F}$ of H'_4 into 2-factors such that $c(\mathcal{F}) \leq 3n\sqrt{np_4 \log^3 n}$. Now we claim that

$$(34) \quad 36(np_4)^3 \log^9 n \leq (np_5)^4.$$

To prove (34), note that $(np_5)^4 = (np_0(1-p_0))^2 / \log^3 n$. So by (29) it suffices to prove that $36(np_0)^{81/64} \log^{67/2} n \leq (np_0(1-p_0))^2 / \log^3 n$, or equivalently that $(np_0)^{47/64} (1-p_0)^2 \geq 36 \log^{73/2} n$. But if $p_0 \leq 1/2$ then we have

$$(np_0)^{47/64} (1-p_0)^2 \geq (np_0)^{47/64} / 4 \geq (\log^{50} n)^{47/64} / 4 \geq 36 \log^{73/2} n,$$

and if $p_0 \geq 1/2$ then

$$(np_0)^{47/64} (1-p_0)^2 \geq n^{47/64} (n^{-1/4})^2 / 2 \geq 36 \log^{73/2} n,$$

with room to spare, which proves (34).

It follows immediately from (34) that if $p_5 \leq p_4$, then

$$c(\mathcal{F}) \leq 3n\sqrt{np_4 \log^3 n} \leq \frac{n(np_5)^2}{2np_4 \log^3 n} \leq \frac{n(np_5)^2}{n(p_4 + p_5) \log^3 n}.$$

On the other hand if $p_5 \geq p_4$, then

$$c(\mathcal{F}) \leq 3n\sqrt{np_4 \log^3 n} \leq 3n\sqrt{np_5 \log^3 n} \leq \frac{n(np_5)}{2 \log^3 n} \leq \frac{n(np_5)^2}{n(p_4 + p_5) \log^3 n}.$$

Hence in either case we can apply Corollary 46 with $G' = G_4 \cup G_5$, $G = G_5$, $H = H_5$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ to obtain a collection $\mathcal{HC}_4$ of edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles in $H'_4 \cup H_5$ which cover H'_4 . Now let $\mathcal{HC} = \mathcal{HC}_1 \cup \mathcal{HC}_2 \cup \mathcal{HC}_3 \cup \mathcal{HC}_4$. Observe that $\mathcal{HC}$ covers every edge of H_1, H_2, H_3 and H_4 . But recall that every edge of G_0 incident to x_0 is contained in either H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 or M_{Opt} . Hence $\mathcal{HC}$ contains exactly $\lfloor d_{G_0}(x_0)/2 \rfloor = \lfloor \delta(G_0)/2 \rfloor$ Hamilton cycles. $\square$

Note that the only place where we use the full strength of the condition on p_0 is in the proof of (33) and (34). Also note that if we omit one of the iterations (i.e., if instead of defining p_4, G_4 and H_4 we simply use H_5 to finish the decomposition of H'_3) then the proof of Theorem 2 still works as long as $\log^{125} n/n \leq p \leq 1 - n^{-1/7}$ (say). On the other hand, we could have improved the lower bound on p in Theorem 2 somewhat by adding extra iterations. However, even a large number of iterations will only reduce the lower bound to approximately $\log^{30} n/n$. Some further small improvements could be made by using tighter calculations in some places.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Alspach, J.-C. Bermond and D. Sotteau, Decompositions into cycles. I. Hamilton decompositions, *Cycles and rays (Montreal, PQ, 1987)*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1990, 9–18.
- [2] B. Alspach, D. Bryant and D. Dyer, Paley graphs have Hamilton decompositions, *Discrete Mathematics* **312** (2012), 113–118.
- [3] D. Bal and A. Frieze, Packing tight Hamilton cycles in uniform hypergraphs, preprint.
- [4] S. Ben-Shimon, M. Krivelevich, and B. Sudakov, On the resilience of Hamiltonicity and optimal packing of Hamilton cycles in random graphs, *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* **25** (2011), 1176–1193.
- [5] B. Bollobás, The evolution of sparse graphs, *Graph theory and combinatorics*, Academic Press, London (1984), 35–57.
- [6] B. Bollobás, *Random Graphs*, Academic Press, London, 1985.
- [7] B. Bollobás and A. Frieze, On matchings and Hamiltonian cycles in random graphs. *Random graphs '83 (Poznan, 1983)*, North-Holland Math. Stud., 118, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1985), 23–46.
- [8] A. Bondy, Basic graph theory: paths and circuits, in *Handbook of Combinatorics*, Vol. 1, Elsevier, Amsterdam (1995), 3–110.
- [9] D. Christofides, D. Kühn and D. Osthus, Edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles in graphs, *J. Combin. Theory B*, to appear.
- [10] G. Egorychev, The solution of the Van der Waerden problem for permanents, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **258** (1981), 1041–1044.
- [11] D. Falikman, A proof of the Van der Waerden problem for permanents of a doubly stochastic matrix, *Mat. Zametki* **29** (1981) 931–938.
- [12] A. Frieze and M. Krivelevich, On packing Hamilton cycles in ε -regular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory B* **94** (2005), 159–172.
- [13] A. Frieze and M. Krivelevich, On two Hamilton cycle problems in random graphs, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **166** (2008), 221–234.
- [14] A. Frieze and M. Krivelevich, Packing Hamilton cycles in random and pseudo-random hypergraphs, preprint.
- [15] A. Frieze, M. Krivelevich and P.-S. Loh, Packing tight Hamilton cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs, *Random Structures & Algorithms*, to appear.
- [16] R. Glebov, M. Krivelevich and T. Szabó, On covering expander graphs by Hamilton cycles, preprint.
- [17] D. Hefetz, D. Kühn, J. Lapinskas and D. Osthus, Optimal covers with Hamilton cycles in random graphs, preprint.
- [18] S. Janson, T. Łuczak and A. Ruciński, *Random Graphs*, Wiley, 2000.

- [19] J.H. Kim and N.C. Wormald, Random matchings which induce Hamilton cycles, and Hamiltonian decompositions of random regular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory B* **81** (2001), 20–44.
- [20] F. Knox, D. Kühn and D. Osthus, Approximate Hamilton decompositions of random graphs, *Random Structures & Algorithms* **40** (2012), 133–149.
- [21] M. Krivelevich and W. Samotij, Optimal packings of Hamilton cycles in sparse random graphs, preprint.
- [22] M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov, Sparse pseudo-random graphs are Hamiltonian, *J. Graph Theory* **42** (2003), 17–33.
- [23] D. Kühn and D. Osthus, Multicoloured Hamilton cycles and perfect matchings in pseudo-random graphs, *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* **20** (2006), 273–286.
- [24] D. Kühn and D. Osthus, Hamilton decompositions of regular expanders: a proof of Kelly’s conjecture for large tournaments, preprint.
- [25] D. Kühn and D. Osthus, Hamilton decompositions of regular expanders: applications, preprint.
- [26] E. Lucas, *Récréations Mathématiques*, Vol. 2, Gauthier-Villars, 1892.
- [27] C. St. J. A. Nash-Williams, Hamiltonian arcs and circuits, in *Recent Trends in Graph Theory*, Springer 1971, 197–210.
- [28] J. Petersen, Die Theorie der regulären Graphen, *Acta Mathematica* **15** (1891) 193–220.
- [29] A. Thomason, Pseudo-random graphs, *Annals of Discrete Mathematics* **33** (1987) 307–331.
- [30] W. T. Tutte, The factorisation of linear graphs, *J. London Math. Soc.* **22** (1947), 107–111.
- [31] W. T. Tutte, The factors of graphs, *Canad. J. Math.* **4** (1952), 314–328.
- [32] W. D. Wallis, One-factorizations, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1997.

Fiachra Knox, Daniela Kühn & Deryk Osthus
 School of Mathematics
 University of Birmingham
 Edgbaston
 Birmingham
 B15 2TT
 UK

E-mail addresses:

`{knoxf,kuehn,osthus}@maths.bham.ac.uk`